ALLAMA IQBAL OPEN UNIVERSITY

USMAN NOTES
www.usmannotes.com

Name:

Registration No.:

Course Code: 851

Program: MA/MEd Programme

Semester: Autumn 2026

ALLAMA IQBAL OPEN UNIVERSITY, ISLAMABAD

Course: System of Distance Education (851) • Level: MA/MEd Programme

Semester: Autumn 2026

Assignment No. 2 (Units 5-9)

Total Marks: 100 • Pass Marks: 40

SOLVED ASSIGNMENT GUIDE

(For Study & Reference)

Note: This guide is prepared for study and reference purposes. Students are advised to read the relevant units of the course book, understand the concepts, and then write the assignment in their own words.

USMAN NOTES www.usmannotes.com

Question 1: Process of Course Development in Distance Education and Factors Affecting It (20 Marks)

Describe the process of course development in distance education. Discuss the factors that affect Distance Education course development.

Introduction

In conventional education a teacher can develop a course almost single-handedly: he selects a textbook, prepares notes, and adjusts his teaching day by day in response to his class. In distance education this is impossible. The course itself must teach, because the course materials will stand in the teacher's place for most of the student's learning; they must be ready

in final form before the course opens, be produced in thousands of copies or online for the whole country, and remain in use for several years. Course development in distance education is therefore a systematic, team-based production process, planned from the identification of learner needs to the evaluation and revision of the finished course. This answer describes that process step by step, and then discusses the factors that affect it.

What Course Development Means in Distance Education

Course development is the complete process of deciding what a course should teach, to whom, and to what standard, and then creating, testing, producing and continuously improving all the components through which that teaching will reach the distance learner: printed or digital study units, audio and video or online components, assignments, practical or workshop arrangements, and guidance for tutors. Its product is not a syllabus alone but a self-instructional learning package in which objectives, content, examples, activities, self-assessment questions and feedback devices are built into the material itself, because no lecturer will be present to supply what the material omits.

The Process of Course Development

Table 1: Main stages in developing a distance education course

Stage	What is done
1. Needs assessment and proposal	Identify the target learners, their needs and numbers, the gap the course fills, and its place in a programme; obtain institutional approval and budget.
2. Aims, objectives and curriculum outline	Define the course aims and measurable learning objectives, select and sequence the content into units, and fix credit value and assessment scheme.

3. Team formation and planning	Appoint the course team: course coordinator, unit writers, editor, instructional designer, media specialist, graphic designer and external assessor; prepare a production schedule.
4. Writing of units	Writers draft self-instructional units in conversational style with objectives, introductions, activities, worked examples, summaries and self-assessment questions.
5. Developmental editing and design	Editors check accuracy, level, language, consistency and teachability; designers prepare layout, illustrations, diagrams and media scripts.
6. External review and pre-testing	Subject experts review content; sample units are tried on representative learners or tutors to detect difficulty, ambiguity and timing problems.
7. Revision and media production	Revise on the basis of review and pre-test; record audio/video, build online components, finalise assignments and tutor guides.
8. Production and dispatch/delivery	Print and dispatch materials, or publish them on the learning management system; distribute tutor and centre guidance; train tutors.
9. Presentation and monitoring	Teach the course, collect tutor and student feedback, assignment statistics and results data during its presentation.
10. Evaluation and revision	Review the whole course after presentation; revise units, media and assessment in a planned cycle before re-presentation.

Several features of this process deserve emphasis. First, it is a team process: no single writer produces a distance course, because academic, editorial, design, media and production skills are all required. Second, it is planned backwards from the learner: objectives and assessment are fixed before writing begins, so that materials, activities and examinations all pull in the same direction. Third, pre-testing is unique and essential: because errors cannot be corrected in a live lecture, draft materials are tested on learners before mass production. Fourth, development does not end at dispatch; monitoring during presentation and formal course

review afterwards feed into revision, because a distance course is a long-lived product whose faults would otherwise be repeated to every new cohort.

Factors Affecting Distance Education Course Development

- Learner profile: age, previous education, language, occupation, study environment and access to technology decide the level, style, examples and media of the course. Materials for in-service teachers, for example, can assume classroom experience; materials for new matriculates cannot.
- Nature of the subject: theoretical subjects develop mainly through text and discussion, while science, technical and professional subjects require practical kits, laboratory or workplace arrangements, demonstrations and workshops, which complicate and lengthen development.
- Media and technology available: the choice between print only, print plus broadcast, or fully online development depends on the institution's facilities and, more importantly, on what learners can actually access — electricity, devices, connectivity and digital skills.
- Cost and resources: development is the most expensive stage of distance education. Budgets decide team size, media richness, print quality, pre-testing rigour and revision frequency; underfunded development produces weak materials that cost more in failure later.
- Time: a quality course takes many months to develop. Pressure to launch quickly, or academic calendars fixed in advance, can force shortcuts in review and pre-testing that damage the product.

- Availability of expertise: skilled course writers, instructional designers, editors and media producers are scarce; the quality of training and the stability of the course team strongly affect the outcome.
- Institutional policy and accreditation requirements: programme regulations, credit structures, quality assurance rules and the requirements of bodies such as the Higher Education Commission set boundaries for objectives, content load and assessment.
- Language and culture: materials must be in a language learners command, use familiar examples, and respect cultural and religious sensitivities; imported or translated materials often fail on this factor.
- Assessment and support design: development must simultaneously design assignments, examinations, tutorial arrangements and tutor guides, because a course whose assessment or support is designed afterwards will not hold together as a system.
- Expected enrolment and course life: large, long-lived courses justify heavy development investment and full pre-testing; small or short-lived courses must be developed economically, perhaps by adapting existing open resources.

Conclusion

To conclude, course development in distance education is a phased, team-based production process — needs assessment, objective and curriculum design, team writing in self-instructional form, editing and design, expert review and pre-testing, media production, dispatch, monitored presentation, and evaluation leading to revision. It is affected by the learners' profile, the subject's nature, available media and technology, cost, time, expertise, institutional and

accreditation rules, language and culture, and the assessment and support system built around it. Because the materials must do the teaching, the care invested in this process is the single strongest determinant of quality in the whole distance education system.

Question 2: Student Support Services — Counselling and Tutorials at AIOU (20 Marks)

Define students' support services. Explain the significance of counselling and tutorials as student support services at AIOU.

Introduction

Distance learners study alone, but they must never be left alone. The experience of open universities worldwide is that materials, however good, do not by themselves carry most students to success: learners hesitate over admissions, misunderstand requirements, fall behind, lose confidence and quietly drop out unless a human system of help surrounds the materials. That surrounding system is called student support services. AIOU, whose students are spread across every district of Pakistan and include large numbers of women, working adults and first-generation learners, depends on such services for its credibility and completion rates. This answer defines student support services, surveys their range, and then explains in detail the significance of two of the most important: counselling and tutorials.

USMAN NOTES www.usmannotes.com

Student Support Services Defined

Student support services are all the organised services, other than the course materials and formal examinations themselves, which an institution provides to help its learners enter, persist in and succeed in their studies and to respond to their academic, administrative and personal needs throughout the learning journey. In the words commonly used in the distance education literature, they are the services that support the learner at every stage — before entry, at entry, during study and at exit. They rest on a simple principle: in a conventional institution support is absorbed informally through daily contact with teachers and fellow students, whereas in a distance institution it must be deliberately designed, staffed and delivered, because without it the isolated learner has no one to turn to. Support services therefore include information and advice before admission, help with admission and registration, dispatch and receipt of materials, counselling, tutorials, assignment handling and feedback, library and study facilities at centres, examination arrangements, and guidance about results, certificates and further study.

The Range of Support Services at AIOU

At AIOU the support structure works through several channels. The university's central student services and its regional offices and campuses answer queries, resolve admission, book-dispatch and result problems, and guide students through rules and deadlines. Accredited study centres provide rooms, libraries, laboratories and a local coordinator whom students can approach. Part-time tutors, appointed for each course in the students' own localities, mark assignments with comments and conduct tutorial meetings. Printed prospectuses, information

materials, the university website and online systems, telephone and messaging contact, workshops for practical and teaching-practice components, and examination centres in the students' districts complete the structure. Within this whole range, counselling and tutorials occupy a special place because they are the services in which a student is treated not as a roll number but as a person with a problem or a mind with a question.

Counselling as a Support Service and Its Significance

Counselling in distance education is the provision of advice, guidance and help by designated staff or trained personnel for the academic, administrative, vocational and personal problems that affect a student's study. Academic counselling covers choice of programmes and courses, study planning, developing study skills, understanding assignments and preparing for examinations. Administrative counselling covers admission procedures, fee and eligibility rules, change of course or centre, and result or degree queries. Personal and vocational counselling touches motivation, confidence, family and work pressures, and the relation of study to career. Its significance at AIOU can be seen at three points of the student journey.

- Before and at entry: correct initial choices prevent the most expensive mistakes. A student guided into the right programme and level, with a realistic course load for someone in employment, begins with a chance of success; an unguided student may enrol wrongly, receive materials he cannot use and disappear within one semester.
- During study: counselling intervenes at the moments when dropout actually happens — a failed or late assignment, a missed deadline, a family or financial crisis, discouragement after poor marks. A timely conversation, an explanation of rules for late submission or re-

registration, or simple encouragement from the regional office or centre can retain a student whom silence would have lost.

- Towards exit and beyond: guidance about examinations, results, transcripts, degrees and further study or employment completes the journey and maintains the student's lifelong link with the university.

Counselling is especially significant for AIOU's clientele because so many of its students are first-generation learners, women studying within restrictive home circumstances, and workers with no academic adviser in their environment. For such students the university's counsellor, regional staff member or centre coordinator is the only source of trustworthy educational advice they have. Counselling therefore converts formal open access into real access: the door is open to all, but counselling helps each individual actually walk through it.

Tutorials as a Support Service and Their Significance

Tutorials are the scheduled teaching meetings, held at study centres (and increasingly also arranged online), at which the part-time tutor appointed for a course meets its students to explain difficult parts of the course, discuss their work and guide their further study. The tutor also marks the students' assignments and returns them with comments, so tutoring and assignment feedback together form the teaching dialogue of the distance system. Their significance is fundamental, for several reasons.

- They restore interaction: tutorials supply the two-way communication that separates true distance education from mere correspondence. Students can ask the questions that materials, however carefully written, could not anticipate.

- They deepen understanding: a tutor can re-explain a concept in local language and familiar examples, correct misconceptions revealed in assignments, and connect the units of a course into a coherent whole.
- They create motivation and belonging: meeting the tutor and fellow students of the same course breaks isolation, builds a peer group, sets a rhythm of deadlines and regular study, and gives students a sense of being members of a university rather than purchasers of books.
- They develop skills and examination readiness: discussion, problem-solving, practical demonstrations and guidance on how assignments and examination answers are judged raise the quality of students' work in ways self-study alone rarely achieves.
- They provide feedback to the institution: tutors report the difficulties students face with particular units, which is essential information for revising course materials.

At AIOU, where students may live hundreds of kilometres from the main campus, the tutorial at the local study centre is for most students the only regular human teaching contact of their degree. When tutorials are held regularly, by prepared tutors who know the materials, they measurably support persistence and performance; when they are irregular or reduced to roll-call, students lose the dialogue that keeps them learning. Protecting the quality and regularity of tutorials is therefore one of the most important support-service tasks of the university's regional administration.

Conclusion

To conclude, student support services are the organised academic, administrative and personal help that carries a distance learner from enquiry to graduation. Among them, counselling and tutorials are central at AIOU: counselling guides choices, solves problems and rescues students at the points where dropout threatens, while tutorials restore teaching interaction, deepen understanding, motivate through human contact and link assignments, materials and examinations into one learning process. An open university is judged not only by what it sends to students but by how it stands beside them, and counselling and tutorials are where that support becomes personal.

Question 3: Evaluation System of AIOU and Recommendations for Its Improvement (20 Marks)

Describe the evaluation system of AIOU and recommend its improvement.

Introduction

Evaluation is the part of an educational system that certifies learning, and in distance education it carries an extra burden: because the institution does not watch its students learn day by day, its examinations and assignments must be especially trustworthy if its degrees are to command public confidence. AIOU, as Pakistan's national open university, evaluates very large numbers of students spread across the whole country, in programmes from matriculation to the doctorate. Its system combines continuous assessment during the course with a final examination at the end, supplemented in professional and practical programmes by workshops, practicals, projects

and research requirements. This answer describes that system, notes its strengths and weaknesses, and recommends improvements.

Components of the Evaluation System at AIOU

Table 1: Main components of evaluation at AIOU

Component	Nature and purpose
Assignments (continuous assessment)	Each course normally requires written assignments submitted during the semester to the appointed tutor, who marks them and returns comments. They compel regular study, give feedback, and contribute to the student's overall result; a minimum pass standard in assignments is required.
Final examination (summative)	An end-of-semester written examination for each course, held at examination centres across the country under university rules, testing the whole course. It carries the major weight in the final result, and the student must pass it as well.
Workshops, practicals and teaching practice	Professional programmes such as teacher education, and courses with practical content, require attendance at workshops, practical work, projects or supervised teaching practice, which are assessed as part of the programme.
Projects, theses and viva voce	Research programmes (MPhil and PhD) and some postgraduate programmes require research work, a thesis or project, and its defence before examiners.
Results, grading and certification	Results are compiled from the components under university regulations, declared to students, and followed by transcripts and degrees/certificates; rules govern re-checking, re-appearance in failed courses and improvement.

Three design features should be noted. First, the system is deliberately double: continuous assessment through assignments spreads study across the semester and reduces dependence on a single examination day, while the supervised final examination protects standards and comparability. A student generally has to pass in both the aggregate and the components as

prescribed, so neither coursework alone nor examination cramming alone is sufficient. Second, the system is decentralised in delivery but centralised in control: assignments are marked locally by appointed tutors, but examinations are set and governed centrally, results are compiled by the university's examination department, and the same standard applies in every district. Third, evaluation is tied to the tutorial system, because the tutor who teaches the student in tutorials also marks the assignments, which makes feedback part of teaching rather than a separate bureaucratic act.

Strengths of the Present System

The system's main strengths follow from this design. It keeps students studying throughout the semester instead of only before examinations; it provides feedback through tutor comments; its final examinations are held near students' homes in centres across the country, which suits women and working learners; its central control gives its awards national uniformity; and it can operate at very large scale with a comparatively small permanent staff by using part-time tutors and examiners. For professional programmes, assessed workshops and teaching practice connect evaluation to real classroom and workplace performance, which a written paper alone cannot measure.

Weaknesses of the Present System

Balanced description must also record weaknesses commonly experienced and discussed. Assignment marking quality varies among thousands of part-time tutors, and feedback sometimes arrives late, after its teaching value has faded; assignments are also vulnerable to copying and to solved-assignment markets, which weaken their value as evidence of individual

learning. Final examinations can over-reward memorisation and reproduced material, because question papers may become predictable. Result declaration for very large cohorts can be slow, and students in remote areas may face difficulty in getting queries, re-checking and corrections resolved. Attendance and assessment in some workshops and practical components are not uniformly rigorous, and the weight of a single final examination still presses heavily on students who have worked, honestly but slowly, through the semester.

Recommendations for Improvement

- Speed and quality of assignment feedback: enforce marking deadlines for tutors, use standard marking schemes and rubrics, sample-moderate tutors' marking centrally, train tutors in writing useful comments, and progressively introduce online submission and marking so that feedback reaches students while the next unit is still being studied.
- Protect the integrity of continuous assessment: design assignments with application-based and locally grounded tasks that cannot be copied from a single source, vary assignment questions between semesters and regions, apply plagiarism checking where work is submitted digitally, and treat tutor-marked assignments partly as teaching instruments whose process matters.
- Improve examination quality: build and use question banks with blueprints tied to course objectives, mix recall questions with analytical, application and problem-solving items, train paper setters and examiners, and use moderation and statistical review of results to detect abnormal marking patterns.

USMAN NOTES www.usmannotes.com

- Strengthen practical and workshop assessment: prescribe clear competency criteria, attendance verification and supervisor reports for teaching practice and practicals, with external sampling so that these components carry genuine rather than nominal weight.
- Use technology for administration: online result access, digital certificates and transcripts, automated tracking of assignment and result queries, and a responsive online complaint system would remove much of the delay and travel that presently burden distant students.
- Add formative support between assessments: short self-tests, online quizzes and tutor-led revision activities during the semester, unmarked or lightly marked, so that the first serious judgement on a student does not arrive when it is too late to improve.
- Student voice and appeals: publish clear rules for re-checking and re-evaluation, decide appeals within announced time limits, and collect student feedback on evaluation fairness as part of course review.

USMAN NOTES www.usmannotes.com

Conclusion

To conclude, AIOU's evaluation system rests on tutor-marked assignments as continuous assessment combined with centrally controlled final examinations, with workshops, practicals, projects and theses where programmes require them — a sound and scalable design whose double structure both supports learning and certifies it. Its improvement lies less in changing that architecture than in strengthening its operation: faster and better-moderated feedback, assignments designed against copying, richer examination questions, rigorous practical assessment, and technology-driven result and query services. Such reforms would raise both the real standard of learning and public confidence in the open university's awards.

Question 4: Role of the Personnel of Distance Education at AIOU (20 Marks)

Describe the role of the personnel of Distance Education at AIOU.

Introduction

A conventional university can be described through its teachers and students, but a distance teaching university works through a much wider cast of personnel, most of whom the student never sees. Behind every course book an AIOU student receives stand writers, editors, designers and printers; behind every tutorial stands a part-time tutor appointed and monitored by a regional office; behind every examination stand paper setters, centre staff and examiners; and behind all of it stand administrative, technical and managerial staff who run admissions, dispatch, records and finance for one of the largest student bodies in the country. Describing the role of the personnel of distance education at AIOU therefore means describing a division of labour in which academic, tutorial, regional, administrative and technical roles interlock to teach and serve students at a distance.

Academic Personnel: Faculty, Course Coordinators and Writers

The permanent academic staff of the university's departments and institutes carry the intellectual responsibility for programmes. Their role includes designing programme structures and curricula, preparing course outlines, and acting as course coordinators who plan each course, constitute its team and supervise its development and presentation. Course writers — drawn from the university's own faculty and from experts in other universities and institutions

— write the self-instructional units. Editors and instructional designers reshape drafts into teachable material, checking accuracy, language, level and the built-in activities and self-assessment questions. External experts review content for correctness and currency. Academics also set examination papers, prepare marking schemes, act as examiners and research supervisors, revise courses in the light of feedback, and conduct research on the distance system itself. In short, academic personnel guarantee that what is taught is correct, current and set at the right standard.

Media and Production Personnel

Because teaching is mediated, specialists who have no counterpart in a small conventional department are essential. Radio, television and video producers, instructional designers, graphic artists, illustrators, proofreaders, printing and publishing staff, and the university's information technology and learning-management personnel convert academic manuscripts into finished books, broadcasts, recorded lectures, e-books and online course spaces. Their role is not cosmetic: clarity of layout, quality of diagrams, accuracy of printing and reliability of the online system decide whether students can actually learn from the material. Allied to them, the mailing and dispatch function — warehousing books and delivering them to students across the country — is a specialised operation on which the whole teaching calendar depends, since a student whose books arrive late has effectively lost teaching time.

Tutors: The Front-Line Teaching Personnel

The part-time tutor is the personnel category most visible to the student and, in daily experience, the most important. Appointed course-wise from among qualified teachers and

subject specialists in the students' own localities, and working under the regional offices, tutors conduct tutorial meetings at study centres, mark students' assignments and return them with guidance and comments, counsel students informally about study difficulties, and report students' problems with the material back to the university. The tutor personalises an impersonal system: he or she knows the student's name, handwriting, progress and difficulties, and provides the encouragement that keeps isolated learners enrolled. The quality of distance education as students experience it is, to a large extent, the quality of its tutors, which is why their careful selection, briefing and monitoring is a central personnel function.

Regional and Study Centre Personnel

Table 1: Main categories of AIOU distance education personnel and their roles

Category	Principal role
Faculty and course coordinators	Design programmes and courses, coordinate course teams, set standards, supervise research, revise courses
Course writers and editors	Write and edit self-instructional units with activities and self-assessment built in
Media, design and IT personnel	Produce print, audio-visual and online materials; run the LMS and digital services
Part-time tutors	Conduct tutorials, mark assignments with feedback, guide and motivate local students
Regional directors and staff	Administer admissions, tutors, centres, workshops and examinations in the districts; counsel and facilitate students
Study centre coordinators and staff	Run tutorial venues, libraries, laboratories and local student facilities
Administrative and examination staff	Admissions, records, dispatch of books, examinations, results, degrees and student queries

Regional directors and their staff translate central policy into district-level service: they handle admissions guidance, appoint and monitor tutors, accredit and inspect study centres, organise workshops and examinations, and resolve students' local problems. Study centre coordinators and their supporting staff run the actual venues — arranging tutorial rooms, libraries, laboratories and notices — so that the student's occasional visits are orderly and useful. These field personnel give the university its national presence; without them the system would be a head office mailing parcels, not a university serving every district.

Administrative, Examination and Student-Service Personnel

Administrative personnel operate the machinery of scale: admissions processing, student records, fee and eligibility matters, printing and dispatch schedules, and responses to the enormous volume of student queries by post, telephone and online systems. Examination personnel organise one of the largest examination operations in the country — paper setting logistics, centre appointment, conduct of examinations, script evaluation arrangements, result compilation and declaration, and issuance of transcripts and degrees. Finance, human resource, planning and quality-assurance staff, and the university's leadership — the Vice Chancellor, deans, directors and heads of departments — provide governance, budgeting and policy. Counsellors and student-service staff, finally, specialise in guidance: programme choice, study planning and the resolution of individual difficulties, linking all the other categories to the individual student's needs.

Coordination and Professional Development

A concluding point about roles is that none of these personnel works effectively in isolation. The coordinator depends on the writer, the writer on the editor, the tutor on timely dispatch, the region on central records, and the student on all of them in sequence; a failure anywhere in the chain reaches the student as a failure of the university. This interdependence is why AIOU's personnel system emphasises written procedures, tutor briefing and training, regional reporting, and coordination between academic departments, the regional network and central administration. As delivery becomes more digital, the personnel structure is also evolving: new roles in online tutoring, instructional design, data monitoring of student activity and digital student support are being added to the traditional ones, and existing staff require continuous professional development to perform them.

USMAN NOTES www.usmannotes.com

Conclusion

To conclude, the personnel of distance education at AIOU form a coordinated production and service system: academic staff and writers create and guarantee the courses; editors, media and IT specialists turn them into teachable materials; dispatch and administrative staff move them and the records that accompany them; regional and study centre personnel bring the university into every district; tutors teach, mark and motivate the students face to face; and examination and student-service personnel certify achievement and resolve problems. Each role is partial, but together they perform, at national scale and at a distance, everything a conventional university performs on a single campus.

Question 5: Principles and Process of Instructional Activities in Distance Education (20 Marks)

Discuss the principles and process of instructional activities in distance education.

Introduction

Instruction means the deliberate activities through which learning is brought about: presenting content, engaging the learner with it, practising, receiving feedback and being assessed. In a classroom these activities flow naturally from the teacher's presence. In distance education they must all be planned in advance and built into materials, media, assignments, tutorials and support, because teacher and learner meet rarely or never. Instructional activities in distance education are therefore governed by identifiable principles, and they unfold through a recognisable process from planning to evaluation. This answer discusses both: first the principles that should govern any instructional activity at a distance, and then the process through which instruction is designed, delivered, supported and improved.

Principles of Instructional Activities in Distance Education

- Clarity of objectives: every course and unit begins from stated learning objectives, and every activity — reading, exercise, assignment, tutorial task — is chosen because it serves those objectives and the assessment that will test them. Alignment of objectives, activities and assessment is the first principle of sound instruction in any mode, and it is indispensable where no teacher can improvise corrections.

- Learner-centredness and self-instruction: materials are written for a learner studying alone, in a conversational, friendly style, speaking directly to the student, anticipating difficulties, and guiding him step by step. The instruction must teach without a teacher present, which is the defining design task of the system.
- Active learning: the learner must do, not merely read or watch. In-text questions, activities, worked examples with practice items, self-assessment questions with answers, projects and assignments are built in at frequent intervals, because understanding and retention come from activity, and because activity also tells the learner whether he has understood.
- Feedback and two-way communication: instruction is incomplete without response. Model answers to self-assessment questions give immediate feedback within materials, tutor comments on assignments give personal feedback, and tutorials, forums and queries provide dialogue; the faster and more specific the feedback, the stronger the learning.
- Gradual sequencing and manageable load: content is divided into units and sections of studyable size, moving from known to unknown, simple to complex, with introductions that connect to previous learning and summaries that consolidate it, respecting the limited study time of adult learners.
- Multi-media integration: each medium is used for what it does best — print or text for detailed study, audio for language and discussion, video for demonstration and process, online tools for interaction, search and practice — and the media are cross-referenced into one system rather than offered as disconnected extras.
- Accessibility, equity and flexibility: activities must be usable by learners with different languages, abilities, technologies and time budgets; deadlines, pacing and formats are

arranged so that working adults, women at home and learners with disabilities are not excluded by design.

- Motivation and support: instruction includes encouragement, realistic pacing guidance, contact points and human support, because the distance learner's chief enemy is not difficulty but isolation and discouragement.
- Continuous evaluation of instruction itself: the effectiveness of activities is checked through assignment performance, student and tutor feedback and results, and the findings are used to revise the instruction — the system learns how to teach better.

The Process of Instructional Activities

Stage 1: Planning and Design

The process begins before any material is written. The instructional team analyses the learners and their needs, states the course objectives, selects and sequences content, decides the media mix, and designs the assessment scheme and the pattern of assignments, tutorials and practical work. A study guide or course plan tells students from the outset what they will study, in what order, in roughly how much time, and how they will be assessed. Good planning at this stage is what makes later stages coherent: because the learner will receive the components separately and over months, their interlocking pattern must be fixed in advance.

Stage 2: Development of Learning Materials and Activities

Next, the planned instruction is embodied in self-instructional units and accompanying media. Writers present content in small, well-signalled sections, embed activities and self-assessment

questions with feedback, give examples drawn from the learners' world, and write assignments that require application of the units rather than mere reproduction. Tutor guides are prepared so that tutorial teaching reinforces the same objectives. Materials are edited, reviewed and, where the system allows, pre-tested, because an unclear activity discovered after dispatch cannot be corrected for the whole cohort.

Stage 3: Delivery and the Learner's Study Activity

Instruction then passes to the learner. The student receives or accesses the materials, studies unit by unit at his own pace, performs the in-text activities, checks himself against the provided answers, and watches or listens to the media components at the points indicated. This private study is the largest single instructional activity of the whole system, and its quality depends entirely on the design decisions of the previous stages. The institution supports delivery through reliable dispatch or platform access, broadcast or recording schedules, and clear calendars of deadlines and tutorial dates.

Stage 4: Interaction, Assignments and Feedback

Study becomes dialogue at this stage. The learner completes and submits assignments; the tutor marks them, writes comments that teach rather than merely score, and returns them in time to guide the next stage of study. Tutorials — at study centres or online — add discussion, clarification and peer learning; workshops and practical sessions supply supervised performance for skills that print cannot teach. Counselling and query services run alongside, removing the administrative and personal obstacles that interrupt instruction. The principle at

work is that distance instruction is not the sending of material but the maintenance of a learning conversation across the whole semester.

Stage 5: Assessment and Evaluation of Learning

Formal assessment closes the instructional cycle for the learner: the final examination, together with the assignment record and any practical or project components, judges achievement against the objectives announced at the start. Because assessment was designed in Stage 1 alongside the activities, it tests what the instruction actually taught, and its results certify the learner for progression, employment or further study.

Stage 6: Monitoring, Evaluation and Revision of Instruction

For the institution, one further stage remains. Assignment statistics, examination results, dropout points, and tutor and student feedback are analysed to find where the instruction failed: the unit students could not understand, the activity everyone skipped, the deadline pattern that produced late submissions. Course teams then revise materials, activities, media and support for the next presentation. This evaluation-and-revision loop converts experience into quality and distinguishes a mature distance system from a mere distribution of books.

Conclusion

To conclude, instructional activities in distance education rest on principles of clear objectives and alignment, learner-centred self-instruction, active learning, prompt feedback, careful sequencing, integrated media, accessibility and built-in support; and they proceed through a process of planning, material development, delivery and self-study, interaction through

assignments and tutorials, formal assessment, and institutional evaluation leading to revision. When principles and process are both respected, the absence of a daily classroom ceases to be a defect: instruction has simply been redesigned, deliberately and systematically, for learners who study at a distance.

USMAN NOTES
www.usmannotes.com