ALLAMA IQBAL OPEN UNIVERSITY

USMAN NOTES
www.usmannotes.com

Name:

Registration No.:

Course Code: 831

Program: MA/MEd Programme

Semester: Autumn 2026

ALLAMA IQBAL OPEN UNIVERSITY, ISLAMABAD

Course: Foundation of Education (831) • Level: MA/MEd Programme

Credit Hours: 03

Semester: Autumn 2026

Assignment No. 1 (Units 1–4)

SOLVED ASSIGNMENT GUIDE

(For Study & Reference)

Note: This guide is prepared for study and reference purposes. Students are advised to read the relevant units of the course book, understand the concepts, and then write the assignment in their own words. Copying this guide word for word is not recommended.

Total Marks: 100 • Pass Marks: 40

Question 1: Islamic Foundations of Education and Hadith (20 Marks)

Q. No. 1: Describe the Islamic foundations of education briefly and discuss in detail "Hadith" as a significant Islamic foundation of education. (20)

Introduction

Education in Islam is not a purely worldly activity concerned only with earning a living or acquiring information. It is a comprehensive process that shapes the whole human being — his beliefs, character, conduct, intellect and social life — and prepares him for success in this world and in the Hereafter. The word most often used in the Qur'an for education in its broad sense is related to knowledge ('ilm), upbringing (tarbiyah), purification (tazkiyah) and instruction (ta'lim). Because Islam is a complete way of life, the foundations on which its system of education rests are also distinctive. They are not borrowed from human speculation alone; they are derived from revelation. This answer first describes those foundations briefly — the Qur'an, the Hadith and Sunnah, the consensus and reasoning of scholars, and the rich educational heritage of Muslim civilisation — and then discusses in detail the second and, after the Qur'an,

the most authoritative of them: the Hadith, the recorded words, actions and tacit approvals of the Holy Prophet Muhammad (peace be upon him).

The Islamic Foundations of Education — A Brief Description

1. The Holy Qur'an

The Qur'an is the first, supreme and most comprehensive foundation of Islamic education. The very first revelation began with the command 'Read' (Iqra) in the name of the Lord who created man and who 'taught by the pen — taught man that which he knew not' (Surah Al-'Alaq, 96:1–5). This opening is itself an educational charter: it joins reading, writing and knowledge directly to faith in the Creator. Throughout the Qur'an, knowledge is praised and ignorance is condemned; those who know are declared not equal to those who do not know; and reflection on the universe, history and the human soul is repeatedly commanded. The Qur'an supplies the aims of education — recognition of Allah, righteous character and the establishment of a just society — as well as its core content in belief, worship, ethics and law. Every other foundation is judged by its conformity to the Qur'an.

2. Hadith and Sunnah

The Hadith and the Sunnah of the Prophet (peace be upon him) form the second foundation. Where the Qur'an lays down principles, the Prophet's life explains, illustrates and applies them. He is described in the Qur'an as an excellent example (uswah hasanah) for believers, and his practical teaching methods, his treatment of learners, his curriculum for the Companions and his organisation of teaching in the Prophet's Mosque provide Muslim educators with a living model that no abstract theory can replace. This foundation is discussed in detail in the second part of this answer.

3. Ijma, Qiyas and Ijtihad

As Muslim society expanded and new situations arose for which no direct text existed, scholars developed agreed principles of interpretation: ijma (consensus of qualified scholars), qiyas (analogical reasoning from a known case to a new one) and ijtihad (independent, disciplined effort to derive rulings and solutions). Educationally, these foundations are extremely important because they keep Islamic education alive and responsive. Questions unknown to earlier centuries — online learning, new sciences, new professions, new media — can be addressed through ijtihad without abandoning the fixed principles of the Qur'an and Sunnah. They therefore protect education both from rigidity and from rootless imitation.

4. The Educational Heritage of Muslim Civilisation

A fourth, historical foundation is the accumulated experience of Muslim civilisation: the mosque schools and maktabs, the madrasas such as the Nizamiyah, great universities like Al-Azhar and Al-Qarawiyyin, libraries, endowments (awqaf) that financed free education, and the works of thinkers such as Al-Ghazali, Ibn Khaldun, Al-Zarnuji and Shah Waliullah. This heritage demonstrates how the revealed foundations were actually institutionalised — how teachers were trained and paid, how students were supported, and how knowledge was classified and transmitted. A modern Muslim system of education studies this heritage critically, retaining what is sound and reforming what time has overtaken.

Hadith as a Significant Islamic Foundation of Education

Meaning and Authority of Hadith

Literally, hadith means a saying, report or narrative. Technically, it is the record of the sayings, actions and tacit approvals of the Prophet Muhammad (peace be upon him), transmitted

through carefully scrutinised chains of narrators (isnad) and preserved in collections such as Sahih Al-Bukhari, Sahih Muslim, Abu Dawud, Al-Tirmidhi, Al-Nasa'i and Ibn Majah, together with the Muwatta of Imam Malik. The Sunnah is the practical pattern embodied in those reports. The authority of Hadith rests on the Qur'an itself, which commands believers to obey the Messenger, declares that he does not speak from his own desire, and presents his life as the model to be followed. In education, therefore, Hadith is not an optional supplement. It is the authoritative commentary that turns Qur'anic principles into teachable, learnable practice.

Hadith Supplies the Aims and the Teacher's Model

First, Hadith clarifies the aims of education. The famous Hadith of Jibreel defines Islam, Iman and Ihsan — excellence and God-consciousness in every act — and thereby sets the ultimate standard an educated person should reach. Other traditions declare that seeking knowledge is an obligation upon every Muslim, that the scholars are the heirs of the Prophets, and that the best of people are those who learn the Qur'an and teach it. These sayings raise teaching from a mere occupation to a sacred trust and learning from a career step to an act of worship, provided the intention is sincere.

Second, the Prophet (peace be upon him) is himself the supreme teacher (mu'allim). His practice offers concrete pedagogy. He taught gradually, in small portions that learners could absorb; he repeated important points; he used questions to awaken curiosity, as when he asked the Companions about the tree that resembles a Muslim; he used illustration and drawing, once drawing a straight line in the sand to represent the straight path; he used parables and stories drawn from everyday life; and he adapted his words to the level of his audience, speaking to desert Arabs, children, women, delegations and scholars in the manner

each could understand. A teacher who studies the Hadith literature therefore receives not only content but a complete, humane method of teaching.

Hadith and the Curriculum

Third, Hadith shapes the curriculum. The Prophet's teaching in Madinah covered belief and the meaning of the Qur'an, worship and purification, family and social ethics, honesty in trade, care for neighbours, parents, orphans and the poor, and practical skills of life. He encouraged useful worldly knowledge as well: he directed that prisoners of Badr who could teach ten Muslim children to read and write might earn their freedom by doing so, which is one of the earliest recorded examples of literacy being treated as a public good. He praised learning languages for public service, encouraged medicine, and appreciated craftsmanship. The lesson for curriculum planners is balance: revealed knowledge and useful worldly knowledge are not rivals; both belong in an Islamic scheme of education, ordered by sound priorities.

Hadith on Learners, Ethics and the Learning Environment

Fourth, Hadith lays down the ethics of the learner and of the learning environment. The student is taught humility, patience, respect for the teacher, sincerity of intention and perseverance; a well-known tradition compares the seeker of knowledge to one who goes out in the path of Allah until he returns. Knowledge, in the Prophetic teaching, must issue in character: a learned person who does not act on his knowledge is severely criticised. Equally, the teacher is bound by ethics — gentleness rather than harshness ('Make things easy and do not make them difficult; give glad tidings and do not repel people'), fairness between students, and refusal to conceal knowledge that is needed. These traditions create a classroom culture of dignity, trust and purpose that modern educational psychology also recognises as essential for deep learning.

Fifth, Hadith institutionalised education. The Suffah, the platform in the Prophet's Mosque where poor students resided and learned, is regarded as one of the first residential educational institutions in Islam. The Prophet sent teachers such as Mus'ab ibn 'Umayr to Madinah before the Hijrah and Mu'adh ibn Jabal to Yemen, giving Mu'adh the famous guidance that he would judge by the Book of Allah, then by the Sunnah, then by his own considered judgement — a charter both for teacher deployment and for ijtihad. Delegations that came to Madinah were taught and then returned to teach their own peoples, creating a multiplying network of instruction. In this way Hadith is not only a source of educational ideas; it records the founding acts of an educational system.

Relevance for Education Today

For a Muslim teacher today, Hadith remains significant in at least three practical ways. It provides motivation, because teaching and learning are presented as worship and as a continuing charity whose reward endures. It provides professional standards, because the Prophet's gentleness, preparation, clarity and concern for the weakest learner are measurable qualities a teacher can cultivate. And it provides a criterion of selection: from the flood of modern content, the educator chooses what builds faith, character and competence, and rejects what corrupts, exactly as the Prophetic model distinguishes beneficial knowledge ('ilm nafi') from knowledge that does not benefit. Used with sound scholarship — distinguishing authentic reports and understanding them in context — Hadith protects Islamic education from both extremism and carelessness.

Conclusion

To conclude, the Islamic foundations of education are the Qur'an as the supreme source, the Hadith and Sunnah as its authoritative explanation and living model, ijma, qiyas and ijtihad as the means of applying the sources to new ages, and the tested heritage of Muslim civilisation as their historical embodiment. Among these, Hadith holds a unique place: it defines the aims of learning, presents the Prophet (peace be upon him) as the perfect teacher, shapes a balanced curriculum, establishes the ethics of teacher and learner, and records the first institutions of Muslim education. Without Hadith, Islamic education would possess principles without practice; with it, it possesses both a direction and a demonstrated way of travelling toward it.

Question 2: Philosophy and Education; Realism and Essentialism (20 Marks)

Q. No. 2: Explain the relationship between philosophy and education briefly and describe realism and essentialism as main philosophical thoughts. (20)

Introduction

Every system of education, whether its designers admit it or not, rests on answers to philosophical questions. What is knowledge, and which knowledge is most worth teaching? What is a human being, and what kind of person should education produce? What is a good society, and what values should the school transmit? Philosophy is the disciplined attempt to answer such fundamental questions about reality, knowledge and value; education is the practical attempt to form human beings in the light of those answers. This answer first explains, briefly, how philosophy and education are related, and then describes two influential

philosophical thoughts that have shaped schools profoundly: realism, a philosophy of reality and knowledge, and essentialism, a philosophy developed specifically for education.

The Relationship Between Philosophy and Education

Philosophy and education are related as theory and practice, or as the foundation and the building raised upon it. Philosophy determines the aims of education: a society that believes man's highest good is nearness to God, or the service of the state, or individual self-realisation, or economic productivity, will design very different schools. Philosophy also determines the curriculum, because judgements about what is true and important decide which subjects are central and which are marginal; it guides methods, because a philosophy that regards the mind as active will favour discovery and discussion, while one that regards it as a vessel to be filled will favour lecture and memorisation; and it shapes discipline and the teacher's role, since the teacher may be conceived as an authority, a guide, a facilitator or a fellow learner according to the underlying view of human nature.

The dependence also runs in the other direction. Education is the means by which philosophy reaches life: ideas about truth, justice and the good remain paper doctrines until schools turn them into the habits of a new generation. Education also tests philosophy, because a philosophy that cannot be taught, or that produces unhappy and incapable people when it is taught, stands exposed as defective. John Dewey therefore called education the laboratory in which philosophical theories are tested, and described philosophy itself as the general theory of education. In short, philosophy without education is barren, and education without philosophy is blind: the philosopher supplies the ends and the criteria, the educator supplies the process and the institution, and each corrects the other.

Realism as a Philosophical Thought

Meaning and Basic Principles

Realism is the philosophy which holds that reality exists independently of the human mind. The world of objects, laws and relations is 'out there' whether or not anyone perceives it, and the task of knowledge is to discover and correspond with that reality as accurately as possible. Its roots lie in Aristotle, who corrected his teacher Plato by insisting that forms exist in things themselves and are known through experience of the concrete world; it was developed in the medieval period by Thomas Aquinas and given modern scientific form by thinkers who emphasised sense experience, observation and the laws of nature. The realist trusts the senses and reason working together: we observe phenomena, classify them, detect regularities, and build reliable knowledge of a world that does not change merely because our opinions change.

Educational Implications of Realism

In education, realism produces a curriculum centred on the objective structure of the world: mathematics, the natural sciences, geography, history as a record of real events, and language as the instrument for describing reality precisely. Subject matter is organised logically, from the simple to the complex, and mastery is judged by accuracy — the student's statements must correspond to the facts. Realist teaching methods follow from this: demonstration, laboratory work, field observation, educational visits, audio-visual aids and the careful use of textbooks written by specialists, because all of these bring the learner into closer contact with real things rather than with words alone. The realist teacher is a subject expert and a clear presenter; his authority rests on his knowledge of reality, not on personal charisma.

Realism also influences the aims and evaluation of education. Its aim is adjustment to, and mastery of, the real environment: the educated person understands natural and social laws and can act effectively within them, whether as a scientist, engineer, doctor, farmer or administrator. Assessment is objective and criterion-based – standardised tests, practical examinations and observable performance — because reality itself provides the standard against which answers are right or wrong. The strength of realism is its respect for facts, its support for science and its resistance to wishful thinking. Its limitation is a tendency to undervalue imagination, emotion, moral choice and the inner life: a school that is only realist may produce competent technicians who have never been asked what their competence is for.

Essentialism as a Philosophical Thought

Meaning and Basic Principles

Essentialism is a conservative educational philosophy that arose in the United States in the 1930s, associated with William C. Bagley and later supported by figures such as Arthur Bestor and Admiral Hyman Rickover, partly as a reaction against the excesses of child-centred progressivism. Its central claim is that there exists an essential core of knowledge, skills and values — the accumulated, tested wisdom of civilisation — which every educated person must master, and which the school has a duty to transmit systematically. Children, essentialists argue, do not naturally know what they most need to learn; the cultural heritage is too large and too hard-won to be left to the accidents of a child's present interests. Learning therefore requires effort, sequence, discipline and respect for competent authority.

Educational Implications of Essentialism

The essentialist curriculum is subject-centred and clearly prescribed: reading, writing and arithmetic in the elementary years; then literature, history, mathematics, science, foreign languages and the arts taught as organised disciplines by teachers who know them deeply. Promotion depends on demonstrated mastery, examinations are taken seriously, and standards are not lowered to make success painless. The teacher stands at the centre of the classroom as the representative of the adult world's knowledge, expected to be well trained, to plan carefully and to maintain an orderly environment in which sustained work is possible. Discipline, in this philosophy, is not mere punishment; it is the training of the will and the formation of habits of diligence, punctuality and honesty without which no serious learning occurs.

Essentialism's aim is cultural literacy and mental discipline: to produce a citizen who shares the common knowledge of his civilisation, can reason clearly, and is prepared for higher study and responsible work. Its strength is its defence of standards, content and the teacher at a time when these are easily neglected, and its insistence that equity actually requires giving disadvantaged children the same demanding core knowledge that privileged families provide at home. Its weaknesses are the mirror image of its strengths: it can become rigid, overloaded and examination-bound; it may neglect individual differences, creativity and the child's own questions; and in a rapidly changing world it must constantly re-examine which knowledge truly remains 'essential'.

Table 1: Realism and Essentialism Compared

Aspect	Realism	Essentialism

View of reality	A real world exists independently of the mind and can be known.	Civilisation possesses an essential core of tested knowledge and values.
Curriculum	Organised study of the real world: sciences, mathematics, history, geography.	Prescribed core subjects: languages, literature, history, mathematics, science.
Teacher's role	Subject expert who presents reality accurately and demonstrates it.	Authoritative transmitter of the cultural heritage; centre of the classroom.
Method	Observation, demonstration, laboratory and field work, precise textbooks.	Systematic instruction, drill where needed, homework and examinations.
Aim	Accurate knowledge of, and effective adjustment to, the real environment.	Cultural literacy, mental discipline and mastery of essential knowledge.

USMAN NOTES www.usmannotes.com

Conclusion

In conclusion, philosophy and education are inseparably related: philosophy supplies education with its aims, content, methods and criteria, while education gives philosophy a living test and a means of shaping society. Realism contributes the conviction that education must answer to an objective world of facts and laws, and therefore prizes science, accuracy and expert teaching. Essentialism contributes the conviction that education must transmit an essential core of civilisation's knowledge and values, and therefore prizes a prescribed curriculum, disciplined effort and high standards. A wise system learns from both — remaining faithful to reality and to the heritage — while guarding against realism's narrowness and essentialism's rigidity by remembering the learner and the moral purposes that both philosophies are meant to serve.

Question 3: Reconstructionism as a Philosophical Thought (20 Marks)

Q. No. 3: Write a detailed note on reconstructionism as a main philosophical thought. (20)

Introduction

Reconstructionism is the most socially ambitious of the modern philosophies of education. Where essentialism looks backward to preserve the best of the heritage and progressivism looks inward to the growth of the child, reconstructionism looks outward and forward: it asks what is wrong with society as it is, and how education can help to build a better one. It emerged in the United States during the Great Depression of the 1930s, when mass unemployment and poverty made it impossible to believe that schools could remain neutral custodians of the existing order. George S. Counts challenged teachers in 1932 to 'dare' to build a new social order, and Theodore Brameld gave the philosophy its systematic form and its name in the following decades. This note examines its meaning and principles, its view of society and of the crisis of modern civilisation, and its implications for the aims, curriculum, methods, teacher and learner in the school, before assessing its strengths and limitations.

Meaning and Central Principles

Reconstructionism holds that education is the most powerful peaceful instrument for the reconstruction — the deliberate rebuilding — of society. Its first principle is that society is in a state of deep crisis. Brameld pointed to war and the threat of nuclear destruction, the gap between rich and poor nations and classes, racial and social discrimination, the misuse of technology, environmental destruction and the cultural confusion of people who possess powerful means but no agreed purposes. A civilisation, he argued, that can split the atom but cannot control poverty, prejudice and violence is educationally bankrupt, whatever its examination results may be.

The second principle is that education is never neutral. Every curriculum selects some knowledge and omits other knowledge; every school either trains children to accept the existing order uncritically or equips them to examine and improve it. Since neutrality is an illusion, reconstructionists choose openly: the school should take its stand on the side of a more just, democratic and peaceful social order. The third principle is commitment to agreed social ends. Brameld believed that despite their differences, human beings can reach a working consensus on the great goals — peace, economic security for all, equality of opportunity, and democratic participation — and that education should organise itself around achieving those goals. The fourth principle is that means must agree with ends: a democratic order cannot be built by authoritarian teaching, so the methods of the school — discussion, participation, cooperative inquiry — must themselves practise the values it hopes society will adopt. This union of social criticism, agreed purposes and democratic method is sometimes called 'defensible partiality': the teacher is partial to democracy and justice, but defends that partiality openly and allows it to be questioned.

Aims of Education in Reconstructionism

The aim of education, for the reconstructionist, is to produce agents of social change: men and women who understand the problems of their society, care about them, possess the knowledge and skills to act on them, and have the courage to do so. Intellectual development is not rejected — social problems cannot be solved without rigorous economics, science, history and technology — but knowledge is valued as an instrument of reform rather than as a private ornament. Equally important are social attitudes: sympathy across class and racial lines, resistance to propaganda, willingness to cooperate, and a sense of responsibility for the future.

The ultimate horizon is international: Brameld spoke of building a world civilisation in which the resources of science serve all mankind, and he regarded narrow nationalism as one of the diseases education must cure.

Curriculum

The reconstructionist curriculum is organised not around the traditional subjects alone but around the major problems and areas of living of contemporary society: economic security and employment, health and population, peace and international relations, conservation of natural resources, housing and urban life, race and minority relations, and the control of technology. The social sciences — economics, sociology, political science, geography and contemporary history — move to the centre, because they supply the evidence about how society actually works. Yet the curriculum is interdisciplinary: a problem such as clean water is studied scientifically, economically, legally and ethically at once. Content is selected by a double test: is it true, and is it socially significant? Local and community material is heavily used, field surveys and statistics replace dependence on a single textbook, and the future is studied deliberately — students examine trends and alternative possibilities instead of treating the present order as permanent.

Methods of Teaching and the Role of the Teacher

Because its ends are democratic, reconstructionist method is participatory. Problem-solving, group discussion, debate, panel and forum methods, community surveys, projects carried out in the real community, visits to factories, courts, hospitals and local government, and the critical analysis of newspapers and media are its characteristic techniques. Students learn to define a problem, gather evidence, consider rival proposals, anticipate consequences and reach a

reasoned group decision — exactly the skills a democratic citizen needs. Evaluation, likewise, is not confined to memorised information; it includes the quality of inquiry, of cooperation and of practical outcomes.

The teacher in this philosophy is neither a dictator of doctrine nor a passive bystander. He is a leader of inquiry and, in Brameld's phrase, a person who has himself taken a stand: he presents the evidence fairly, exposes students to competing views, but does not pretend that slavery and freedom, or poverty accepted and poverty abolished, are morally equal options. This is the most debated point of the philosophy. Critics fear indoctrination — that reconstructionist teachers will manufacture agreement with their own politics. Reconstructionists reply that all teaching influences values, that their aims are openly stated and democratically defensible, and that students remain free to dissent. The safeguard lies in the teacher's honesty, the openness of discussion and the distinction between committing students to democratic values and dictating their detailed opinions.

The School and Society

Institutionally, the reconstructionist school reaches beyond its walls. It becomes a community centre, works with health services, local government and voluntary organisations, conducts adult education, and treats the community as a laboratory. School government itself is democratised so that students experience participation rather than merely reading about it. In developing countries the philosophy has obvious attractions: where illiteracy, disease, unemployment and inequality are the daily reality, an education that ignores them in favour of purely literary study appears a luxury, and programmes of community development, basic education and education for work all carry a reconstructionist spirit.

Criticism and Evaluation

The strengths of reconstructionism are its moral seriousness, its relevance and its refusal to separate the school from life. It gives education a purpose large enough to inspire teachers, connects learning with the real problems students will inherit, and provides strong support for equality of opportunity and for education as an instrument of national development. Its limitations must also be faced honestly. It can overestimate what schools alone can do: poverty and war have economic and political causes that no curriculum can abolish by itself. It risks turning the classroom into a platform and scholarship into propaganda if its safeguards fail. Its emphasis on social problems may crowd out systematic knowledge, leaving students enthusiastic but ill-informed. And its confidence that mankind can agree on social ends is weakest exactly where societies are most divided.

Conclusion

To conclude, reconstructionism is a philosophy that makes education responsible for the future of society. Born in economic crisis and developed by Counts and Brameld, it teaches that the school cannot be neutral, that its curriculum should centre on the great problems of contemporary life, that its methods must practise the democracy it preaches, and that its product should be a citizen equipped and willing to rebuild society on more just and peaceful lines. Balanced with the essentialist respect for solid knowledge and the realist respect for facts, its central insight remains permanently valuable: an education that does not help society to face its own deepest problems has failed, however elegantly it performs its examinations.

Question 4: Maturation and Learning in the Preschool Period; Types of Special Children (20 Marks)

Q. No. 4: Describe maturation and learning in the preschool period and discuss the different types of special children with their disabilities. (20)

Introduction

The preschool period — roughly from two to six years of age, before formal schooling begins — is the most rapid and consequential phase of human development. In these years the child learns to walk steadily and run, to talk in sentences, to control his body, to play with others, to ask endless questions and to form the first picture of himself as a person. Two processes drive this development and must be clearly distinguished, though in real life they always work together: maturation, the unfolding of capacities that are inborn, and learning, the change produced by experience and practice. This answer describes both processes in the preschool child and then discusses the different types of special children — children whose development departs significantly from the average — together with the disabilities associated with each type and the educational response they require.

Maturation in the Preschool Period

Maturation is the process by which the genetically determined potentialities of the organism unfold in an orderly sequence with age, largely independent of teaching. A child sits before he stands, babbles before he speaks and scribbles before he writes, not because anyone has arranged a syllabus but because the nervous system, muscles and senses mature in that order. Gesell and other developmental psychologists showed that these sequences are remarkably similar across children and cultures, which is why developmental norms — the average ages for

walking, first words, toilet control and so on — can be stated at all. In the preschool years maturation is visible in rapid brain growth, in the myelinisation of nerve fibres that makes finer coordination possible, in the strengthening of large muscles before small ones (which is why a four-year-old can run and climb long before he can button a shirt neatly or hold a pencil correctly), and in the gradual lengthening of attention and memory.

The educational importance of maturation is the principle of readiness. A child cannot profit from a task until the underlying structures have matured: forcing reading, writing or formal arithmetic on an unready child produces frustration, failure and dislike of learning, not advancement. Wise parents and nursery teachers therefore watch for signs of readiness — interest, coordination, language — and provide rich opportunities rather than premature drill. Maturation also explains why children of the same age differ: rates of maturation vary normally, and a late walker or late talker may be entirely healthy. Readiness, not the calendar alone, should govern what is demanded.

Learning in the Preschool Period

Learning is change in behaviour and capability brought about by experience. Upon the foundation laid by maturation, the preschool child's learning is prodigious. Language is the greatest achievement: from a few words at two years, the child reaches a vocabulary of thousands of words and the grammar of his mother tongue by five or six, learned not by formal instruction but by listening, imitating, experimenting and being answered. Motor learning proceeds through play — throwing, catching, hopping, drawing, building with blocks, cutting and pasting. Social learning proceeds through imitation of parents and siblings, through play with other children in which sharing, turn-taking, leading and following are practised, and

through the approval and disapproval that teach the first rules of right and wrong. Cognitive learning is driven by curiosity: the preschooler is in what Piaget called the pre-operational stage, thinking in images, reasoning from appearances, animating toys, centring on one feature at a time, and asking 'why' about everything — a mode of thought that good early education respects by offering concrete materials, stories, rhymes, nature and conversation rather than abstractions.

In practice maturation and learning are inseparable: maturation sets the timetable and the limits, learning supplies the content. A skill appears when maturation has prepared the structure and experience gives it exercise; deprived of experience, even a mature capacity withers, as studies of severely neglected children tragically show. The preschool teacher's art is therefore to match experiences to the maturing child — neither waiting passively for nature nor forcing the pace — in what is sometimes called a prepared environment, rich in play, language and affection.

Special Children: Meaning and Types

'Special children', or children with special educational needs, are those who differ from the average child to such an extent in physical, intellectual, sensory, emotional or social characteristics that they require specially designed education to develop to their full capacity. Specialness is not only disability: the gifted child is also special, because an ordinary programme bores and wastes him. The main types, with their associated disabilities, are as follows.

Table 1: Main Types of Special Children

Type	Nature of Disability / Difference	Main Educational Need

Intellectual disability	Below-average intellectual functioning and adaptive behaviour; slow learning, limited abstraction and memory.	Concrete, step-by-step teaching; functional and vocational skills; patience and repetition.
Gifted and talented	Exceptionally high ability or talent; boredom and frustration in ordinary work.	Enrichment, acceleration, open-ended tasks and mentorship.
Visual impairment	Blindness or low vision; cannot learn adequately through sight.	Braille, audio and tactile material, orientation and mobility training.
Hearing impairment	Deafness or hardness of hearing; delayed language and communication.	Hearing aids, sign language / lip-reading, visual teaching, language therapy.
Physical / orthopaedic	Cerebral palsy, polio effects, muscular or skeletal conditions; limited movement.	Accessible buildings, therapy, assistive devices, adapted physical education.
Speech and language disorders	Stammering, articulation defects, delayed language.	Speech therapy, confidence-building, unhurried oral work.
Emotional / behavioural disorders	Anxiety, aggression, withdrawal, hyperactivity (e.g. ADHD); difficulty in relationships and self-control.	Counselling, consistent routines, behaviour support, family cooperation.
Learning disabilities	Dyslexia, dysgraphia, dyscalculia: specific difficulty despite normal intelligence.	Remedial, multi-sensory teaching targeted at the specific skill.
Multiple disabilities / autism spectrum	Combinations of disabilities; autism involves impaired communication and social interaction with repetitive behaviour.	Individualised plans, structured teaching, specialist and family teamwork.

A few of these types deserve fuller comment. Intellectual disability is graded — mild, moderate, severe and profound — and most children in the mild range are educable: with realistic expectations, concrete methods and vocational preparation they can become self-supporting and respected members of the community. Sensory disabilities show how one defect multiplies: the deaf child's chief handicap is usually not silence itself but the delay in language

that follows from it, which is why early diagnosis and early language intervention matter more than almost anything else. Learning disabilities are the most easily missed type, because the child is intelligent yet fails persistently at reading, writing or number; without identification he is mislabelled lazy or careless, and his self-respect is damaged year after year. Children on the autism spectrum need predictability, visual structure and patient social teaching, and many have islands of remarkable ability alongside their difficulties. In every type the modern principle is the same: identify early, assess individually, prepare an individualised educational plan, include the child with ordinary children as far as beneficial — the policy of inclusive education — and involve parents as partners, since for the special child above all, school and home must speak with one voice.

Conclusion

In conclusion, the preschool years are governed by the partnership of maturation and learning: maturation unfolds the child's capacities in a natural sequence and determines readiness, while learning through play, language, imitation and social experience fills those capacities with the content of life. Most children travel this road within the normal range, but special children — the intellectually disabled, the gifted, the blind and deaf, the physically handicapped, those with speech, emotional and specific learning disorders, autism and multiple disabilities — depart from it far enough to need deliberate, specialised help. Recognising their types and disabilities accurately, without stigma and without lowered human respect, is the first duty of the teacher, because for these children especially, the right education at the right time is the difference between dependence and a dignified, contributing life.

Question 5: The Socioeconomic Foundation of Education (20 Marks)

Q. No. 5: Why should teachers understand the socioeconomic foundation of education? Explain the relationship between social conditions and education. (20)

Introduction

No school stands outside society. Its pupils arrive each morning carrying the circumstances of their homes — the income and occupation of their parents, the language of the household, its books or their absence, its hopes and its anxieties — and they return each afternoon to neighbourhoods that either reinforce or undo the school's work. The socioeconomic foundation of education is the study of exactly this two-way relationship: how social and economic conditions shape education, and how education in turn shapes the social and economic order. This answer first explains why a working teacher, and not only a policymaker, needs to understand this foundation, and then examines the relationship between social conditions and education in its main aspects.

Why Teachers Should Understand the Socioeconomic Foundation

First, understanding socioeconomic background is necessary for understanding the learners themselves. A teacher who knows that a child's inattention may be hunger, that irregular attendance may be harvest work or the care of younger siblings, that silence may be the shyness of a child whose home language differs from the language of the school, will respond with help instead of blame. Much of what is recorded as a child's 'ability' or 'attitude' is in fact the shadow of his circumstances: the child of educated parents arrives with vocabulary, books, confidence and help with homework already provided; the first-generation learner arrives with

none of these advantages, and only a teacher who understands the difference can judge his progress fairly and support him effectively.

Second, this understanding guides practical teaching decisions. Methods, examples, assignments and even the timing of demands must be adjusted to the realities of pupils' lives: homework that requires internet access, costly materials or a quiet room is a hidden tax on the poor; examples drawn only from city offices alienate the farmer's child; fees for trips, however small, quietly exclude some pupils from the full life of the class. A teacher aware of socioeconomic realities plans low-cost, inclusive alternatives, uses the resources of the local community as teaching material, and becomes alert to the points at which children drop out — transition to middle school, the start of paid work, early marriage — so that encouragement and timely help can be offered before the loss occurs.

Third, the teacher is a link between the school and the community. He or she meets parents, attends local gatherings, and is often the most educated public figure in a village or urban neighbourhood. Knowledge of the local economy and social structure — its castes and biradris, its occupations, its attitudes toward girls' schooling, its suspicions and its aspirations — is indispensable for winning parental cooperation, raising enrolment, reducing absenteeism and making the school trusted rather than alien. Fourth, this understanding protects the teacher's professional judgement: it enables him to interpret examination results and league comparisons intelligently, to argue for resources where deprivation is greatest, and to resist the fatalism that 'these children cannot learn'. Finally, education is one of the few instruments by which a poor child can change his position in society; a teacher who grasps this social function

teaches with a sense of mission, treating the disadvantaged learner not as a problem but as the very reason the public school exists.

The Relationship Between Social Conditions and Education

How Society Shapes Education

Social conditions determine, first, the aims and content of education. An agrarian society, an industrial society and a digital society demand different knowledge; a society's religion, values and political order decide what history is taught, what conduct is praised and what kind of citizen is desired. They determine, second, the resources available: rich regions build laboratories, libraries and trained staffs, while poor regions struggle for roofs and textbooks, so that the quality of schooling maps closely onto the wealth of the community that funds it. They determine, third, access and participation: poverty, child labour, distance, early marriage and cultural restrictions on girls depress enrolment and completion no matter what the constitution promises, while compulsory education laws, free textbooks, stipends and school meals are society's deliberate attempts to weaken that determination. Language is a further channel: the child whose mother tongue is the medium of instruction begins with an advantage over the child who must first learn the language in which he is taught.

Social structure also works inside the classroom. Research in the sociology of education — from studies of home background and achievement to Bourdieu's concept of cultural capital — shows that children bring unequal stocks of language, confidence and familiarity with school culture, and that schools, by rewarding the culture of the dominant groups, can unintentionally convert social advantage into apparent individual merit. Streaming, private tuition, the divide between expensive private and under-resourced public schools, and the prestige of English-

medium instruction all illustrate how education in Pakistan and similar societies can reproduce the social hierarchy even while officially offering equal opportunity. Recognising these mechanisms is the beginning of countering them.

How Education Shapes Society

The influence also flows the other way, and it is education's claim to public investment. Economically, education raises productivity and earnings: it produces the skilled workers, technicians, professionals and entrepreneurs a modern economy requires, and human capital theory and rate-of-return studies consistently show high returns, especially to primary and to girls' education. Socially, education drives mobility: it is the ladder by which the children of farmers, labourers and artisans become teachers, officers, doctors and engineers, gradually loosening a hierarchy of birth. It changes health and family life — educated mothers have healthier children, smaller and better-spaced families and greater use of services. It supports democracy and social cohesion by forming citizens who can read, judge claims, tolerate difference and participate in public affairs; and it modernises attitudes, weakening fatalism and widening people's sense of what is possible.

Yet the relationship is reciprocal, not one of simple cause and effect, and it contains tensions the teacher should see clearly. Education cannot by itself abolish unemployment: if the economy does not create jobs, it produces the frustrated phenomenon of the educated unemployed, and its certificates lose value. Nor does expansion automatically produce equality: if quality schooling is bought by the rich while the poor receive schooling in name only, education widens the gap it was meant to close. The honest conclusion of socioeconomic analysis is that education is a powerful but conditioned instrument — it transforms society

most when economic policy, employment, health and social reform move with it, and it reproduces society when those supports are absent.

Conclusion

In conclusion, teachers should understand the socioeconomic foundation of education because their pupils' learning, behaviour and chances are unintelligible without it, because fair and effective teaching decisions depend on it daily, and because the teacher is society's agent at the point where its inequalities are either softened or confirmed. Social conditions shape education through aims, resources, access, language and the hidden advantages children bring from home; education shapes society through skills, mobility, health, citizenship and changed attitudes. A teacher who understands this two-way relationship teaches neither with naivety nor with despair, but with clear eyes and deliberate effort on behalf of those learners whom social conditions have served least — which is where the teacher's work matters most.

USMAN NOTES www.usmannotes.com