# ALLAMA IQBAL OPEN UNIVERSITY

USMAN NOTES
www.usmannotes.com

**Name:**

**Registration No.:**

**Course Code: 623**

**Program: M.A./M. Ed/B. Ed**

**Semester: Autumn 2026**

**ALLAMA IQBAL OPEN UNIVERSITY, ISLAMABAD**

**Course: Teaching Strategies at Elementary Level (0623)**

**Level: M.A./M. Ed/B. Ed**

**Semester: Autumn 2026**

**Assignment No. 2 (Units 6–9)**

**SOLVED ASSIGNMENT GUIDE**

**(For Study & Reference)**

Note: This guide is prepared for study and reference purposes. Students are advised to read the relevant units of the course book and write the assignment in their own words.

USMAN NOTES www.usmannotes.com

## Question 1: Metacognition — Concept, Functions and Elements; A Plan for Teaching Pupils to Plan, Monitor and Evaluate (20 Marks)

*Q. 1: Explain the concept, functions, and elements of metacognition. Design a plan that teaches elementary pupils to plan, monitor, and evaluate their learning through suitable metacognitive strategies, and explain how progress would be assessed.*

### Introduction

Every teacher knows two kinds of pupils. One reads a paragraph, notices she has not understood it, goes back, reads it again more slowly, and checks the difficult words; the other reads the same paragraph, understands little, and simply turns the page. The difference between them is not intelligence alone — it is metacognition: the knowledge and control a

learner has over his or her own thinking and learning. Since John Flavell introduced the term in the 1970s, research has consistently shown that metacognitive skill is one of the strongest predictors of school achievement, and — what matters for teachers — that it can be taught. This answer explains the concept, functions and elements of metacognition, then designs a practical plan for teaching elementary pupils to plan, monitor and evaluate their learning, and finally explains how progress in these strategies would be assessed.

## The Concept of Metacognition

Metacognition means, literally, 'thinking about thinking'. Flavell defined it as the knowledge and cognition that monitor, regulate and orchestrate one's own cognitive processes. In simpler classroom language, cognition does the learning; metacognition manages it. When a pupil asks herself 'What am I supposed to find out in this problem?', 'Is my method working?', 'How will I check my answer?' — she is thinking metacognitively. Two broad components are usually distinguished: metacognitive knowledge (what the learner knows about herself as a learner, about tasks and about strategies) and metacognitive regulation or skills (the actual planning, monitoring and evaluating of performance). Metacognition is therefore not an extra subject; it is the executive control system that makes all other learning more deliberate, more efficient and more independent — the foundation of 'learning how to learn'.

## Functions of Metacognition

Metacognition performs several connected functions in learning. First, it creates awareness: the learner recognises what she knows and does not know, replacing vague confidence or vague anxiety with accurate self-knowledge. Second, it directs goal-setting and planning: knowing a

task's demands, the learner chooses strategies deliberately — rehearsal for a list, summarising for a story, a diagram for a word problem. Third, it monitors comprehension and progress during the task, detecting confusion early ('this answer cannot be right, it is bigger than the total') instead of discovering failure at the test. Fourth, it regulates and repairs: the learner changes strategy, seeks help, re-reads, or slows down when monitoring signals trouble. Fifth, it evaluates outcomes, judging whether the goal was met and what the experience teaches for next time. Across all five functions, metacognition progressively transfers control from teacher to learner — it is the mechanism by which taught pupils become self-regulated, lifelong learners.

## Elements of Metacognition

Following Flavell and later writers such as Schraw, the elements of metacognition can be organised as follows. (1) Person knowledge: beliefs about oneself as a learner ('I remember better when I draw it'), about other learners, and about human learning in general. (2) Task knowledge: understanding what a task requires — that skimming suits a timetable but not a proof, that a story's questions may ask for inference, not just facts. (3) Strategy knowledge: knowing a repertoire of strategies and, crucially, conditional knowledge — when and why each strategy works. These three form declarative, procedural and conditional knowledge about cognition. On the regulation side, the elements are (4) planning — setting goals, selecting strategies, allocating time and resources before beginning; (5) monitoring — ongoing checking of comprehension, pace and accuracy during the task, including 'debugging' strategies when errors are found; and (6) evaluating — appraising the product and the process after completion.

Effective metacognition exists only when knowledge and regulation work together: a pupil may know about checking (knowledge) yet never check (regulation), or check mechanically without knowing why.

## A Plan for Teaching Metacognition to Elementary Pupils

The plan below runs across one term (about twelve weeks) in a Class 4–5 classroom, woven into ordinary lessons rather than added as a separate subject. Its guiding pedagogy follows the well-tested sequence of explicit teaching: the teacher names each strategy, explains when and why to use it, models it by thinking aloud, gives guided practice with prompts, and gradually withdraws support as pupils use the strategy independently.

- Phase 1 — Building awareness (Weeks 1–2): Introduce the idea of the 'brain as a manager' through stories and discussion. Pupils complete a simple 'How I learn' reflection and keep a learning diary. The teacher thinks aloud during a reading lesson, verbalising her own planning, confusion and checking, so pupils hear what invisible good learning sounds like.
- Phase 2 — Teaching planning (Weeks 3–5): Before tasks, pupils use a three-question planning routine displayed on a classroom chart: What is my goal? What strategy will I use? What will I need and how long will it take? Pupils set a small goal in a 'plan card' before maths problem-solving and story writing (e.g., 'First I will underline the numbers; then draw the problem'). Goal-setting conferences of two minutes per pupil each week help children make goals specific and achievable.
- Phase 3 — Teaching monitoring (Weeks 6–9): Pupils learn comprehension 'fix-up' strategies for reading (re-read, read on, use the picture, ask) and self-checking routines for

mathematics (estimate first; does the answer make sense?; check by the inverse operation). Traffic-light self-signals (green = I understand, yellow = unsure, red = stuck) let pupils monitor publicly and safely. 'Stop and think' pauses are built into lessons, and pupils mark checkpoints in their plan cards as they work.

- Phase 4 — Teaching evaluation (Weeks 10–12): After tasks, pupils complete short reflection stems: 'My goal was… I used the strategy… It worked / did not work because… Next time I will…'. Pupils compare their work against simple rubrics and exemplars, set one improvement target, and select their best piece for a portfolio with a written justification. A class 'strategy wall' collects the strategies pupils themselves recommend, with their names attached — building ownership and a shared repertoire.

Throughout the term, three supporting conditions apply: strategies are always taught inside real subject tasks (reading, mathematics, science), never as empty exercises; the teacher's think-alouds continue, decreasing gradually; and parents are informed through a note explaining the routines so the same language can be used at home.

## Assessing Progress

Metacognitive growth is real but not visible on an ordinary test alone, so assessment must use several lenses. (1) Observation and checklists: the teacher uses a short observation schedule during lessons (Does the pupil state a goal before starting? Does she pause and self-check? Does he change strategy when stuck?) for a few pupils each day, building a class picture over the term. (2) Artefacts: plan cards, learning diaries, reflection sheets and portfolios are concrete evidence; progress appears as plans become more specific, monitoring notes more frequent,

and evaluations more honest and precise. A simple three-level rubric (beginning–developing–secure) for planning, monitoring and evaluating keeps judgements consistent. (3) Pupil voice: brief interviews and think-aloud tasks ('Tell me how you will solve this, and how you will know you are right') reveal knowledge and conditional understanding that behaviour alone may hide. (4) Learning outcomes: strategy use should eventually show in achievement — fewer careless errors, better reading comprehension, more completed tasks — and pre- and post-term comparisons on matched tasks, plus a simple pupil self-report questionnaire ('Before I start, I think about what I have to do'), complete the evidence. Assessment results feed back into teaching: if monitoring is weak across the class, Phase 3 activities are extended rather than the term's plan being followed blindly.

## Conclusion

In conclusion, metacognition is the learner's knowledge of, and control over, his or her own cognition; its functions — awareness, planning, monitoring, regulation and evaluation — convert passive pupils into self-directed learners, and its elements of person, task and strategy knowledge together with planning, monitoring and evaluating give teachers a clear teaching agenda. The plan presented here shows that even young children can learn to plan before they act, monitor while they work and evaluate when they finish, provided strategies are named, modelled aloud, practised inside real tasks and assessed through observation, artefacts and pupil reflection. Teaching metacognition is, in the deepest sense, teaching pupils to become their own teachers.

# Question 2: Audio-Visual Aids — Classification, Selection, Use, Benefits, Limitations and Management (20 Marks)

*Q. 2: Classify audio-visual aids into projected and non-projected forms. Critically discuss their selection, effective classroom use, instructional benefits, limitations, and practical management at the elementary level.*

## Introduction

A well-known Chinese proverb says: I hear and I forget, I see and I remember, I do and I understand. Audio-visual aids rest on exactly this insight: children learn through their senses, and teaching that reaches the eye and ear together is remembered far better than words alone. Edgar Dale's 'Cone of Experience' arranged learning experiences from the most abstract (verbal symbols) to the most concrete (direct purposeful experience) and showed that aids move teaching down the cone towards reality. Yet aids are servants, not masters: a costly projector used badly teaches less than a well-used piece of chalk. This answer classifies audio-visual aids into projected and non-projected forms, then critically discusses their selection, effective use, benefits, limitations and practical management at the elementary level.

## Classification of Audio-Visual Aids

Audio-visual aids are broadly of three sensory types — audio aids (radio, recordings), visual aids (charts, pictures), and combined audio-visual aids (films, television, videos) — but the most practical classification for schools divides them by whether they need projection equipment.

**Table 1: Projected and non-projected audio-visual aids**

| Category | Examples | Typical elementary use |
|---|---|---|
| Projected aids | Overhead projector (OHP) and transparencies; slide projector; filmstrip projector; LCD/multimedia projector with computer; interactive whiteboard; document camera (visualiser); epidiascope | Showing enlarged pictures, maps and diagrams to the whole class; videos and animations of processes too slow, fast, small or distant to observe directly; displaying pupils' own work for discussion |
| Non-projected aids | Chalkboard/whiteboard; charts and posters; pictures, photographs and flashcards; maps and globes; models and specimens; real objects (realia); flannel board and magnetic board; bulletin/display boards; puppets; textbooks' illustrations; sand tray and activity materials | Daily explanation and practice; vocabulary and number work; classroom display of concepts and pupils' work; hands-on observation and manipulation |

The classification matters practically: projected aids enlarge and animate but depend on electricity, equipment and a darkenable room; non-projected aids are cheap, robust and always available but are limited in size and movement. In most Pakistani elementary classrooms the non-projected family carries the daily load, with projection used where the school is fortunate enough to possess it — a fact that should shape both purchasing decisions and teacher training.

## Selection of Audio-Visual Aids

Selection should be governed by principles, not by novelty or availability alone. First, relevance to the objective: the aid must serve the specific learning outcome of the lesson — the teacher should be able to complete the sentence 'This aid will help pupils to…'. Second, accuracy and appropriateness: content must be correct, up to date, and suited to the children's age, language and cultural background. Third, visibility and clarity: lettering and images must be readable or visible from the last bench; a beautiful chart in small print is a failed chart. Fourth, simplicity:

one clear idea per aid; overcrowded displays confuse young children. Fifth, practicality: cost, durability, availability of electricity, the teacher's own skill with the equipment, and the time needed to prepare and set it up. Sixth, participation: aids that children can touch, move, arrange or respond to (flashcards, models, flannel-board pieces) are generally worth more at this level than aids they only watch. A teacher who tests a chosen aid against these six criteria before the lesson avoids most classroom disappointments.

## Effective Classroom Use

Effective use follows a simple discipline: prepare, present, involve, follow up. The teacher prepares by previewing the aid, checking equipment, and planning the questions that will accompany it. During presentation, the aid appears at the moment it is needed — not displayed from the start of the lesson, where it becomes wallpaper — is used actively (pointing, questioning, asking pupils to read, predict or explain), and is removed or covered when its work is done. Pupils are involved: they handle models, arrange picture cards, read the chart aloud, or demonstrate with the material themselves. Follow-up connects the aid to the lesson's assessment: the summary questions refer to what the aid showed. Two further rules deserve emphasis for projected media: never let a video run long without pause-points for prediction and discussion (young viewers of even excellent material turn passive within minutes), and always have a fallback — the same content on a chart — against electricity or equipment failure, a routine reality in many schools.

## Instructional Benefits

Used well, aids deliver real instructional gains. They make learning concrete, supplying the sensory foundation on which young children's concepts are built — a child who has handled a model of the water cycle reasons differently from one who has only heard the words. They capture and hold attention and motivation, especially for slow and average learners whom purely verbal teaching leaves behind. They clarify what words cannot: size, distance, sequence, internal structure (a cross-section model of a seed) and processes (an animation of the moon's phases). They economise time — one good diagram replaces ten minutes of description — and they provide common experiences for a whole class, a shared reference for discussion. They also support inclusion: visual support is a lifeline for children learning in a second language and for weak readers. Research on multi-sensory learning broadly confirms that combined seeing and hearing improves retention over either channel alone.

## Limitations

A critical discussion must also record the limitations honestly. Aids cost money and time: preparation of good charts and models is labour-intensive, and purchased equipment strains small budgets and needs maintenance. Dependence risks follow: the teacher who cannot teach without slides is helpless when the power fails. Aids can mislead — pictures and models are simplifications, and children may take the model's colour, size or flatness for reality (the blue plasticine 'river' problem). Spectacle can displace learning: an exciting video may be remembered for its jokes, not its concepts. Whole-class projection ignores individual pace. Large classes strain visibility of small non-projected aids. Finally, no aid teaches by itself: the

learning lies in the questions, tasks and talk around the aid, and a school that buys equipment without training teachers in its pedagogy has bought decoration. Dale himself warned that aids are not a substitute for direct experience — where the real thing is available (a real leaf, a real measuring jug), the real thing is better.

## Practical Management at the Elementary Level

Practical management turns occasional use into a reliable system. Schools and teachers should: maintain a simple aids inventory and a resource corner or aids cupboard where materials are labelled, stored flat or boxed, and retrievable in seconds; organise production of low-cost aids from local materials (cardboard, cloth, bottle caps, seeds) — involving pupils in making aids, which is itself a learning activity; schedule shared equipment (projector trolley booking) to prevent clashes; check equipment and electricity points before the lesson, not during it; train all teachers, through peer demonstration, in the small technical skills involved; store digital files in an organised, backed-up folder system by class and topic; and review the collection each term, repairing, discarding and adding. Safety and care rules — children carrying models carefully, no electrical handling by pupils, clean hands for shared materials — are taught as classroom routines. Such unglamorous management is what separates schools where aids genuinely support daily teaching from schools where a projector sits locked in the head's office.

## Conclusion

To conclude, audio-visual aids divide into projected forms, which enlarge and animate but depend on power and equipment, and non-projected forms, which are cheap, sturdy and ever-ready; a balanced elementary classroom uses both, weighted towards the non-projected. Their

value is conditional: selected against the objective, the learners and practical realities, and used with preparation, participation and follow-up, they concretise learning, motivate children and clarify the invisible. Neglected, over-trusted or badly managed, they waste money and can even mislead. The aid, in short, is only as good as the teaching around it.

## Question 3: Educational Technology versus Instructional Technology; Levels of Integration and the Teacher's Role (20 Marks)

*Q. 3: Differentiate educational technology from instructional technology in terms of nature, scope, characteristics, and applications. Analyse levels of technology integration and the teacher's role in technology-supported elementary learning.*

### Introduction

Few terms in education are used as loosely as 'educational technology'. To many it simply means computers in classrooms; to specialists it names a whole field of theory and practice concerned with improving learning through systematic design. Within that field, 'instructional technology' is a related but narrower term, and confusing the two leads to confused policy — schools buy devices (instructional tools) without the system-thinking (educational technology) that makes devices useful. This answer distinguishes the two concepts by nature, scope, characteristics and applications, then analyses levels of technology integration in classrooms and the changing role of the teacher in technology-supported elementary learning.

## Educational Technology: Meaning and Nature

Educational technology is the systematic application of scientific knowledge and organised principles to the problems of education as a whole — teaching, learning, curriculum, assessment, management and training. The Association for Educational Communications and Technology (AECT) defines the field as facilitating learning and improving performance by creating, using and managing appropriate technological processes and resources. Two senses run through the literature: technology in education (the hardware and media used in classrooms) and technology of education (the systematic approach — analysing problems, designing solutions, evaluating results). Mature educational technology unites both: it is a problem-solving discipline that may or may not use machines. Teaching machines, programmed learning, systems analysis, micro-teaching, e-learning platforms and learning analytics all belong to its history and present.

## Instructional Technology: Meaning and Nature

Instructional technology is the part of educational technology concerned specifically with instruction — the design, development, delivery and evaluation of teaching-learning materials and experiences. Where educational technology asks 'how can this educational problem be solved systematically?', instructional technology asks 'how should this lesson, unit or course be designed and delivered so that these learners achieve these outcomes?'. It works with instructional design models (such as ADDIE and Gagné's nine events), media selection, and the production and evaluation of materials, from a well-designed worksheet or programmed text to a multimedia module. Every act of instructional technology is an act of educational technology,

but not vice versa: setting district assessment policy through data systems is educational technology without being instructional technology.

**Table 1: Educational technology versus instructional technology**

| Point | Educational technology | Instructional technology |
|---|---|---|
| Nature | A broad, interdisciplinary field and systems approach to educational problems | A sub-field focused on the design and delivery of instruction |
| Scope | Whole education system: teaching, curriculum, assessment, administration, teacher training, distance education | The teaching-learning process: lessons, units, courses, materials and media |
| Central question | How can learning and performance be improved systematically? | How should this instruction be designed, delivered and evaluated? |
| Characteristics | Goal-oriented, systematic, interdisciplinary (psychology, communication, management, computing), includes both hardware and software approaches | Outcome-based (objectives first), design-driven (models like ADDIE), media-aware, evaluation-conscious |
| Applications | LMS and school information systems, e-learning policy, educational broadcasting, assessment systems, teacher professional development programmes | Lesson and module design, multimedia and AV materials, programmed learning, tutorials, classroom media use |
| Example | A province-wide digital learning programme with training and monitoring | One interactive module teaching Class 4 fractions |

## Levels of Technology Integration

Simply placing technology in a classroom says nothing about how deeply it changes learning. Frameworks such as SAMR (Substitution, Augmentation, Modification, Redefinition — Puentedura) describe ascending levels. At substitution level, technology replaces an old tool

without functional change: typing an essay instead of writing it, projecting the textbook page. At augmentation level, the tool adds functional improvement: word-processing with spell-check and easy revision, a quiz app that marks instantly. At modification level, the task itself is redesigned: pupils collaborate on a shared document across groups, gather real weather data online for their charts, or produce a class audio story. At redefinition level, technology enables tasks previously impossible: a video conversation with a class in another country, a virtual field trip inside the human body, pupils publishing a digital book for a real audience. Other ladders (such as the Technology Integration Matrix) add the dimension of the learning environment — from teacher-directed entry use to pupil-directed, goal-oriented transformation. For elementary schools the practical lesson is twofold: most classroom use begins at substitution and augmentation, which is legitimate; but professional growth means climbing deliberately, because the learning gains concentrate at the higher levels where pupils create, collaborate and connect rather than merely consume.

## The Teacher's Role in Technology-Supported Learning

Technology changes the teacher's role; it does not abolish it. First, the teacher becomes a designer: selecting and sequencing digital and non-digital resources against objectives, applying exactly the instructional-design discipline described above. Second, a facilitator and guide: as pupils explore simulations, videos and information, the teacher frames questions, teaches searching and source-checking, and intervenes at the teachable moment — a role demanding more, not less, subject knowledge. Third, a manager of equity and safety: ensuring every child, not only the confident, handles the devices; enforcing e-safety, privacy and balanced screen-

time; and providing non-digital routes so that no learner is excluded by poverty or disability. Fourth, an assessor who uses technology's data (quiz results, learning-platform records) formatively while remembering what data cannot show. Fifth, a model and learner: the teacher's own visible, critical, joyful use of technology teaches digital citizenship better than any lecture, and continuous professional learning is now part of the role, since tools change faster than textbooks. In elementary settings one more role is decisive — the teacher remains the emotional and moral centre of the classroom. young children learn through attachment, encouragement and example, which no device supplies. The sound conclusion of the research is consistent: technology amplifies good teaching and exposes weak teaching; it does not replace teaching.

## Conclusion

To conclude, educational technology is the broad, systematic discipline of improving education, while instructional technology is its instructional core — the design, delivery and evaluation of learning experiences and materials. Distinguishing them protects schools from equating technology with gadgets. The SAMR analysis shows that integration deepens from substitution to redefinition, with the richest learning at the levels where pupils create and collaborate. At every level the elementary teacher remains central — as designer, facilitator, guardian of equity and safety, assessor and model — and the quality of technology-supported learning will never exceed the quality of the teacher who orchestrates it.

# Question 4: A Technology-Supported Strategy for an Elementary Topic (20 Marks)

*Q. 4: Develop a technology-supported strategy for an elementary topic. Specify learning outcomes, learner needs, resources, activities, teacher and pupil roles, assessment, and safeguards for equitable and purposeful technology use.*

## Introduction

A technology-supported strategy is not a list of gadgets; it is a coherent plan in which chosen technologies serve defined learning outcomes for particular children, inside real classroom constraints. This answer develops such a strategy in full for one elementary topic, specifying — as required — the learning outcomes, learner needs, resources, activities, the roles of teacher and pupils, assessment, and the safeguards that keep technology use equitable and purposeful. The design deliberately assumes a typical, modestly resourced school (one teacher device, a projector or large display where available, and a small number of shared tablets or computers), because a strategy that only works in a rich laboratory works nowhere.

## Topic, Class and Learning Outcomes

Topic: 'Fractions — halves, quarters and simple equivalent fractions', Class 4 Mathematics (age 9–10), a unit of six lessons over two weeks. By the end of the unit, pupils will be able to: (1) identify and name halves, thirds and quarters of shapes, objects and quantities, using the vocabulary numerator and denominator correctly; (2) represent fractions on paper, with manipulatives and in a simple digital app, moving between the three representations; (3)

recognise simple equivalences (1/2 = 2/4) and explain them with a diagram; (4) compare unit fractions and fractions with the same denominator and order them; and (5) solve one-step real-life problems (sharing food, measuring ingredients, dividing a ribbon) and explain their reasoning to a partner. Outcomes (1)–(2) are foundational knowledge and skill; (3)–(5) build the understanding and transfer that paper worksheets alone often fail to produce.

## Learner Needs

The design begins from diagnosed needs. Entry assessment (a five-item pre-test and the previous unit's records) typically shows: several pupils believe 'the bigger the denominator, the bigger the fraction'; some weak readers struggle with word problems; two or three advanced pupils finish routine work quickly and become restless; and a small number have limited experience of handling devices. Fractions are famously abstract — children cannot see 'a third' the way they can see three pencils — so the core need is concrete-to-representational-to-abstract progression (CRA): physical and visual models first, symbols after. Learners also need frequent low-stakes feedback (young children cannot wait a week to learn they misunderstood), opportunities to talk mathematically, and tasks at varied difficulty so that all are challenged but none are lost. The strategy assigns technology only where it meets these needs better than alternatives — visual modelling, instant feedback, differentiation and capture of pupil work — and keeps manipulatives and talk, which technology cannot replace, at the centre.

## Resources

Resources are layered so the unit survives equipment failure. Core (non-digital): fraction walls and circles cut from card, paper strips for folding, play pizzas and fruit models, mini-whiteboards. Digital (teacher-led): the teacher's laptop/tablet with a projector or TV, an interactive fraction simulation (e.g., a fractions simulation of the PhET type or an equivalent offline app) for modelling equivalence dynamically. Digital (pupil-used): a class set or shared set of tablets (one between two pupils is sufficient) with an offline-capable fractions practice app offering adaptive questions and immediate feedback; a quiz tool (or printed equivalents) for exit checks. Supporting: a simple spreadsheet or the app dashboard for the teacher to review responses; a class 'parking lot' chart for questions; headphones where available so paired work stays quiet. All apps are checked in advance for advertisements, language level and offline function, and content is downloaded beforehand — the internet is treated as a bonus, never as a dependency.

## Activities: The Two-Week Sequence

- Lesson 1 — Concrete launch (no pupil devices): Folding and cutting paper strips and card shapes into halves and quarters; fair-sharing scenarios with play food. Teacher uses the projector only to display the lesson's goal and a photograph of pupils' own folded strips (via phone camera) for discussion — technology serving the children's work, not replacing it.
- Lesson 2 — Modelling with simulation: Teacher-led exploration of the fraction simulation: building 1/2, 2/4, 3/6 on screen, pupils predicting each step on mini-whiteboards before it is

shown. The class articulates the equivalence rule in its own words. Pupils then verify with physical fraction circles.

- Lessons 3–4 — Paired adaptive practice: Pupils work in pairs on the practice app at differentiated levels (the app adjusts difficulty; the teacher assigns starting points from pre-test data). Pair protocol: partners must agree and explain before tapping an answer. The teacher circulates with a misconception checklist, pulling a small group for re-teaching with manipulatives when dashboard data and observation show the denominator misconception persisting.
- Lesson 5 — Create and explain: Pairs produce a short artefact — a photographed fraction story ('We shared 3 slices…'), an annotated drawing, or a 60-second audio/video explanation recorded on a tablet — applying fractions to a real context. Selected artefacts are screened and discussed; pupils give 'two stars and a wish' feedback.
- Lesson 6 — Consolidation and check: A game-based quiz (digital where possible, card-based otherwise) revisits all outcomes; the teacher reviews results the same day, regroups pupils needing support, and sets the post-test. A homework option (paper-based, with an optional family phone activity) keeps access equal.

## Teacher and Pupil Roles

The teacher's roles across the unit are: diagnostician (pre-test, ongoing dashboard and observation data); designer (selecting the simulation moments, app levels and pairings); modeller (thinking aloud at the simulation — 'I predict 2/4 will cover the same space because…'); facilitator during pair work (questioning rather than telling, managing turn-taking

with devices); and assessor-analyst who converts response data into next-day grouping decisions. Pupils' roles are equally active: predictors (committing to an answer before feedback), manipulators (of physical and digital models), explainers (to partners and the class), creators (Lesson 5 artefacts), and self-assessors who end the unit by writing which outcome they have secured and which still needs practice. The pairing rule — device shared, talk compulsory — makes the technology a stimulus for mathematical conversation rather than a private screen.

## Assessment

Assessment is aligned outcome by outcome and uses technology where it adds speed or insight. Formative: mini-whiteboard responses in every lesson; app practice data (accuracy by objective, common wrong answers) reviewed after Lessons 3–4; the misconception checklist; Lesson 5 artefacts judged with a simple rubric (correct representation, correct language, clear explanation). Summative: a short post-test mirroring the pre-test (paper-based for equity) covering all five outcomes, plus one performance task — dividing a ribbon and a quantity of counters into given fractions and justifying the result. Pupil self-assessment and the teacher's unit review (which outcomes are secure class-wide, which need a third-week clinic group) close the loop and feed the next unit's planning. Parents receive a brief note on what was learned and one kitchen-table activity (sharing a roti or pizza fairly) to reinforce it.

## Safeguards for Equitable and Purposeful Use

Safeguards are designed in, not added after complaints. Equity: devices are school-provided and shared in class time, so learning never depends on home ownership; every digital task has a

paper or manipulative equivalent of equal status; homework is paper-first; app content is checked for language accessibility and gender-balanced, culturally familiar contexts; pupils with visual or motor difficulties receive enlarged displays, teacher pairing and physical materials as needed. Purposefulness: each technology use passes the test 'what does this let pupils learn or show that they could not as well without it?' — substitution-level uses are minimised; screen time is capped (no lesson exceeds about twenty minutes of pupil screen use), balanced with movement and handwriting; the teacher previews all content, disables open browsing, and uses offline installations to eliminate advertisement and distraction risks. Safety and ethics: no pupil accounts with personal data or commercial platforms, no photographs of children uploaded publicly (artefacts stay on school devices or are shared with parents directly), clear class rules for device care and turn-taking are taught in Lesson 1, and results data are used to help children, never to label or shame them publicly.

## Conclusion

In conclusion, this strategy shows technology in its proper place: outcomes and learner needs decided first; concrete experience leading; digital tools contributing what they uniquely offer — dynamic visual models, adaptive practice with instant feedback, and efficient capture of evidence — while the teacher orchestrates, pupils explain and create, and equity and safety are guarded by design. Such a unit could be taught without any devices at all; with them, used purposefully, the abstract idea of a fraction becomes visible, testable and discussable for every child in the class.

# Question 5: Lesson Planning — Need, Stages, Principles, Advantages and Limitations; Approaches Compared; A Lesson-Plan Framework (20 Marks)

*Q. 5: Explain the need, stages, principles, advantages, and limitations of lesson planning. Compare brief and detailed plans and the Herbartian, Morrison, and Bloom evaluation approaches, then prepare a justified elementary lesson-plan framework.*

## Introduction

A lesson plan is the teacher's written design for a single teaching period: what will be learned, how, with what materials, and how success will be known. Experienced teachers sometimes claim they no longer need to write plans, yet every good lesson — however spontaneous it appears — rests on decisions that planning makes in advance. This answer explains the need for lesson planning, its stages and principles, its advantages and limitations, then compares brief and detailed plans and the three classical approaches associated with Herbart, Morrison and Bloom, and finally presents and justifies a lesson-plan framework suitable for an elementary classroom.

## The Need for Lesson Planning

Lesson planning is needed because teaching time is short, objectives are many, and children's attention is fragile. Planning connects the lesson to the syllabus and to previous and future learning, so that teaching forms a sequence rather than a series of accidents. It forces the teacher to clarify objectives before entering the class, to select and prepare content, examples,

aids and questions in advance, and to anticipate difficulties — where pupils will stumble, which misconception will appear, what to do if an activity finishes early or the projector fails. For the teacher it builds confidence and calm; for pupils it produces lessons with pace, variety and a visible destination; for the school it creates a professional record that supports supervision, substitution and improvement. In short, planning converts good intentions into teachable form.

## Stages of a Lesson Plan

While formats vary, a complete plan moves through recognisable stages. (1) Identification: class, subject, topic, duration, date. (2) Objectives: general aims refined into specific, observable learning outcomes. (3) Learner readiness: statement of pupils' prior knowledge and how it will be checked. (4) Materials: aids, worksheets and resources listed and prepared. (5) Introduction: a set induction that links prior knowledge to the new lesson and creates motivation. (6) Presentation/development: the main teaching sequence — content broken into steps, with teacher activities, pupil activities, methods, questions and board work specified for each step. (7) Practice/application: guided and independent tasks through which pupils use the new learning. (8) Assessment: checks of understanding during and at the end of the lesson, matched to the objectives. (9) Summary/closure: joint recapitulation of the main points. (10) Assignment: homework or follow-up, where appropriate. (11) Self-evaluation: after teaching, a brief note on what worked and what to change — the stage that turns planning into professional learning.

## Principles of Lesson Planning

Sound planning observes several principles. Clarity of objectives: outcomes stated in observable terms ('pupils will classify…') rather than vague intentions ('to teach about…'). Alignment: objectives, activities and assessment must correspond — nothing is assessed that was not taught, nothing taught that serves no objective. Knowledge of learners: content, examples and pace fitted to age, ability range and prior knowledge, with provision for both struggling and advanced pupils. Logical sequencing: steps arranged from known to unknown, simple to complex, concrete to abstract. Flexibility: the plan is a guide, not a script; alternatives and extra examples are prepared so the teacher can respond to the class in front of her. Variety: changes of activity and mode suited to young attention spans. Feasibility: time estimates that are honest, materials that actually exist. Linkage: clear connection with the previous lesson and the next. A plan honouring these principles is recognisably professional before a word of it is taught.

## Advantages and Limitations

The advantages of planning are substantial: it gives teaching direction and continuity, prevents omission and repetition, ensures materials are ready, improves time use and classroom confidence, provides for individual differences, makes assessment deliberate rather than accidental, and supplies the basis for the teacher's own growth through review. Its limitations must be stated just as plainly. Planning costs time, and beginning teachers with heavy loads feel the burden daily. A plan can harden into rigidity — the teacher who follows the paper while the class is plainly lost has mistaken the map for the journey. Written formats imposed by

administrators can degenerate into bureaucratic copying, where plans are produced for inspectors rather than for teaching. Plans also cannot foresee everything: children's questions, a power cut, a rainy-day mood. The correct conclusion is not to abandon planning but to plan at the right depth, hold the plan flexibly, and judge it by the learning it produces.

## Brief and Detailed Plans Compared

**Table 1: Brief versus detailed lesson plans**

| Point | Brief (short) plan | Detailed plan |
|---|---|---|
| Content | Objectives, main steps, key activities, materials and assessment in outline (often one page) | Full script-like detail: content points, teacher questions, expected pupil responses, board work, timing for every step |
| Best for | Experienced teachers; familiar content; revision lessons | Beginning and trainee teachers; new or difficult topics; demonstration/observed lessons |
| Advantages | Quick to prepare; easy to adapt in class; keeps the whole lesson visible at a glance | Builds confidence and content clarity; anticipates difficulties; supports mentoring and feedback |
| Limitations | Depends on memory and experience; risky for complex lessons | Time-consuming; tempts rigid, scripted teaching |

## Three Approaches: Herbartian, Morrison and Bloom

The Herbartian approach, from J. F. Herbart and his followers, is the classical formal-steps model: preparation (recalling prior knowledge), presentation (new material in small steps), association/comparison (linking new with old), generalisation (forming the rule or principle) and application (using it in new situations). Its enduring strengths are logical order and its insistence on linking new learning to what pupils already know (apperception); its weaknesses

are a teacher-centred tilt and a step-sequence that fits knowledge lessons better than skills or creativity.

The Morrison approach (H. C. Morrison's unit method) organises teaching around mastery of meaningful units rather than single lessons, in a cycle: exploration (finding what pupils know), presentation (an overview of the unit), assimilation (supervised study by pupils), organisation (pupils structuring the material in their own outlines) and recitation (pupils presenting their mastery). Teaching continues — with re-teaching for those who need it — until the class has genuinely mastered the unit ('teach, test, re-teach'). Its strengths are its mastery ethic and its substantial pupil activity during assimilation; its costs are time and the demand for study materials and supervision structures.

The Bloom (evaluation) approach, associated with B. S. Bloom and the NCERT tradition, designs the lesson backwards from objectives stated in behavioural terms across the cognitive (and affective/psychomotor) domains. Teaching points, teacher and pupil activities and evaluation are all derived from the objectives, and evaluation is continuous — checking each objective as the lesson proceeds rather than only at the end. Its strengths are precision, alignment and the feedback it gives the teacher; its risks are over-mechanisation (reducing rich learning to tick-box behaviours) and the temptation to neglect objectives that are hard to state behaviourally, such as appreciation.

**Table 2: Herbartian, Morrison and Bloom approaches compared**

| Aspect | Herbartian | Morrison | Bloom (evaluation) |
|---|---|---|---|
| Unit of planning | Single lesson | A whole unit of work | Single lesson (objectives-based) |

| | | | |
|---|---|---|---|
| Starting point | Prior knowledge (preparation) | Exploration of pupils' knowledge | Behavioural objectives |
| Centre of gravity | Logical presentation of content | Mastery through supervised study | Alignment of objectives, activities, evaluation |
| Pupil activity | Moderate — mainly at application | High — long assimilation stage | Continuous, matched to each objective |
| Evaluation | Mainly at application/end | Recitation and unit tests; re-teach till mastery | Built into every step |
| Main risk | Teacher-centred rigidity | Time-consuming | Mechanical reduction of learning |

## A Justified Elementary Lesson-Plan Framework

For daily elementary use I propose a one-to-two-page framework that takes objectives and continuous evaluation from Bloom, linkage and orderly steps from Herbart, and Morrison's mastery spirit in its follow-up stage — while staying brief enough for a working teacher to complete honestly every day.

- Header: School/class, subject, topic, date, period and duration; unit and lesson number.
- Learning outcomes (3–4, observable, Bloom-aligned) and success criteria in child-friendly words ('I can…').
- Prior knowledge and its check (two starter questions); vocabulary to be introduced.
- Materials and preparation checklist (aids, worksheets, technology, seating plan for group work).
- Introduction / set induction (3–5 minutes): hook, link to previous lesson, sharing of outcomes.

- Development (main body, in 2–3 steps): for each step — content points, teacher activity/questions, pupil activity, method, timing, and the quick check of understanding for that step.
- Differentiation: support task/scaffold for struggling pupils, extension challenge for fast finishers.
- Practice and application: guided task, then independent work; homework if any.
- Assessment summary: exit check (3 questions or exit slip) matched to outcomes; note of pupils needing follow-up.
- Closure: joint summary by pupils, preview of next lesson.
- Post-lesson reflection (completed after teaching): what worked, evidence, changes for next time, pupils for the mastery/re-teach group.

The justification is practical: the framework guarantees alignment (Bloom), sequence and linkage (Herbart) and a mastery follow-up loop (Morrison); it builds differentiation and formative assessment — the two features modern elementary classrooms most need — into the daily routine; and because it is a framework rather than a script, it stays flexible in the teacher's hands and brief enough to be a genuine working tool rather than inspection paperwork.

## Conclusion

In conclusion, lesson planning is needed because it alone converts syllabus, objectives and children's needs into a teachable, assessable sequence; its stages run from objectives through introduction, development and practice to assessment and reflection; its principles demand

clarity, alignment, learner-fit, sequence and flexibility; and its advantages for learning far outweigh its costs in time, provided plans are held as guides rather than scripts. Brief plans serve experienced hands, detailed plans train new ones. Herbart contributes order and linkage, Morrison contributes mastery, Bloom contributes objectives and continuous evaluation — and the framework proposed here deliberately inherits from all three, fitted to the realities of the elementary classroom.

USMAN NOTES
www.usmannotes.com