ALLAMA IQBAL OPEN UNIVERSITY

USMAN NOTES
www.usmannotes.com

Name:

Registration No.:

Course Code: 1693

Program: PGD (ELM)

Semester: Autumn 2026

ALLAMA IQBAL OPEN UNIVERSITY, ISLAMABAD

Course: Educational Resource Management (1693) • Level: PGD (ELM)

Semester: Autumn 2026

Assignment No. 1 (Units 1–5)

Total Marks: 100 • Pass Marks: 50

SOLVED ASSIGNMENT GUIDE

(For Study & Reference)

Note: This guide is prepared for study and reference purposes. Students are advised to read the relevant units of the course book, understand the concepts, and then write the assignment in their own words.

USMAN NOTES www.usmannotes.com

Question 1: Dimensions of Educational Resource Management and Institutional Effectiveness (20 Marks)

Describe the dimensions of Educational Resource Management. Analyze how proper management of human, financial, and physical resources contributes to institutional effectiveness.

Introduction

Every educational institution, whether it is a small primary school or a large open university, works with resources that are limited. There are never enough teachers, never enough money and never enough classrooms to do everything that teachers, parents and governments would like to do. Educational Resource Management is the discipline that deals with this basic reality. It is concerned with acquiring, developing, allocating, utilising, conserving and evaluating the resources of an institution so that its educational goals are achieved in the best possible way. This answer first describes the meaning of educational resource management, then explains its main dimensions — human, financial, physical or material, information and time — and finally

analyses how the proper management of human, financial and physical resources in particular contributes to the effectiveness of an institution, that is, to its ability to achieve its stated goals.

The importance of the subject has grown with the expansion of education. When systems were small, resources could be managed informally by a head teacher's personal judgement. Today a public system may employ hundreds of thousands of teachers and spend billions of rupees, and a single university may run campuses, laboratories and digital platforms at the same time. Decisions about resources are therefore no longer routine office work; they are strategic decisions that decide whether learning actually takes place. A well-resourced institution can still fail if its resources are badly managed, while a modestly resourced institution can succeed if every teacher, every rupee and every room is used wisely.

Meaning and Scope of Educational Resource Management

Educational Resource Management may be defined as the process of planning, organising, directing and controlling the human, financial, material, information and time resources of an educational institution in order to achieve its objectives efficiently and effectively. Three ideas in this definition deserve attention. First, resource management is a process, not a single act: it begins with forecasting what will be needed, continues through procurement and allocation, and ends with monitoring and evaluation, whose results feed the next round of planning. Second, the resources are interdependent: money buys buildings and pays teachers, teachers use materials, and information guides all three, so a weakness in one dimension weakens the whole. Third, the test of management is goal achievement: resources have no value in themselves, only in the learning they make possible.

The scope of the subject is correspondingly wide. It covers educational planning and budgeting, recruitment and development of staff, procurement and maintenance of facilities, management of libraries and information systems, timetabling, and the monitoring of results. It operates at every level of the system — the classroom teacher managing time and teaching aids, the head managing a school, the district officer managing a group of schools, and the ministry managing the national system. Although the scale differs, the underlying questions are the same at every level: what do we have, what do we need, how do we close the gap, and how do we know whether the resources produced results?

The Dimensions of Educational Resource Management

Scholars commonly describe educational resources under five dimensions. The table below summarises them before each is discussed in turn.

Table 1: The main dimensions of educational resources and what each includes

Dimension	What It Includes	Examples in an Institution
Human resources	The people who plan, teach, support and lead learning	Teachers, heads, administrators, librarians, laboratory and clerical staff
Financial resources	Money raised, allocated and spent for education	Government grants, fees, donations, endowments, development funds
Physical / material resources	Land, buildings and equipment used for teaching and learning	Classrooms, laboratories, libraries, furniture, computers, teaching aids
Information resources	Data, books and knowledge materials that support decisions and learning	Textbooks, records, EMIS data, digital libraries, research material
Time resources	The instructional and working time available for learning	Timetables, academic calendar, contact hours, examination schedules

Human resources are the people of the institution: teaching staff, institutional heads, administrators, and supporting staff such as librarians, laboratory assistants, accountants and clerks. This dimension includes forecasting staffing needs, recruitment and selection, placement, induction, training and development, appraisal, motivation, welfare and retention. Human resources are usually described as the most important dimension, because people activate all the others: buildings do not teach, money does not teach, and books do not teach until a competent and motivated teacher puts them to work. In most education budgets the human dimension also consumes the largest share of spending, commonly the great majority of the recurrent budget in the form of salaries.

Financial resources are the funds the institution receives and spends: government grants, student fees, community contributions, donations, endowments, loans and income from projects. Managing this dimension means mobilising funds from different sources, preparing budgets that translate plans into money, allocating funds among competing heads, controlling expenditure through rules and accounts, and auditing results. Finance is the enabling dimension: without funds, staff cannot be paid, buildings cannot be built and materials cannot be purchased, yet money alone guarantees nothing, which is why financial management must always be linked to educational priorities rather than treated as mere book-keeping.

Physical or material resources include land and buildings, classrooms, laboratories, libraries, workshops, furniture, equipment, vehicles, textbooks and teaching aids. This dimension covers needs assessment, site selection and construction, procurement, inventory and stock control, utilisation, maintenance and eventual disposal or replacement. Physical resources create the

environment in which teaching and learning occur; their quantity, quality, location and state of repair directly affect attendance, safety, morale and the range of subjects an institution can offer. Capital spending on this dimension is usually large and long-lasting, so mistakes — a school built where children do not live, a laboratory without equipment — burden the institution for decades.

Information resources and time resources complete the picture. Information resources include student and staff records, examination data, education management information systems (EMIS), library collections, research findings and digital content. Good information allows managers to allocate staff where enrolment actually is, to detect dropout early and to justify budgets with evidence. Time is the most strictly limited resource of all: the school day, the academic year and the contact hours prescribed for each subject cannot be stored or recovered once lost. Timetabling, punctuality, protection of instructional time from administrative interruptions and sensible academic calendars are therefore genuine acts of resource management, even though time never appears in a budget.

How Proper Management of Human Resources Contributes to Effectiveness

Institutional effectiveness means the degree to which an institution achieves its goals — student learning, completion, equity, research, service and stakeholder satisfaction. Proper human resource management contributes to effectiveness first through the quality of teaching. Careful recruitment and merit-based selection place competent teachers in classrooms; systematic induction helps new teachers settle; continuing professional development keeps subject knowledge and pedagogy up to date; and fair appraisal with feedback turns experience into improvement. Since research and common experience both show that the teacher is the

single most important school-level influence on learning, every improvement in how teachers are selected, developed and supported shows up in student achievement.

Second, proper human resource management contributes through motivation, stability and leadership. Teachers who are paid correctly and on time, promoted fairly, recognised for good work and involved in decisions commit themselves to the institution; high turnover, by contrast, breaks continuity for learners and wastes the investment made in training. At the institutional level, a trained and supported head teacher multiplies the effectiveness of everyone else by setting goals, observing teaching, managing the timetable and solving problems early. In short, well-managed people convert the institution's other resources into results, which is why human resource management is often called the heart of educational resource management.

How Proper Management of Financial and Physical Resources Contributes to Effectiveness

Proper financial management contributes to effectiveness by ensuring that money follows priorities and is fully and honestly used. A budget prepared from the institution's plan, rather than copied from last year, directs funds to the activities that matter most for learning — teachers, materials, assessment and remediation — instead of spreading them thinly or losing them to less important heads. Timely release and disciplined spending prevent the familiar pattern in which development funds lapse at the end of the year while schools still lack basic facilities. Transparent accounts and audit build the trust of government, parents and donors, which in turn protects and sometimes increases future funding. Financial management also

creates stability: an institution that knows its income and controls its commitments can plan multi-year improvements instead of lurching from crisis to crisis.

Proper management of physical resources contributes in equally concrete ways. Needs-based construction and repair keep class sizes manageable and provide the laboratories, libraries and sanitation on which the curriculum and student health depend; preventive maintenance is far cheaper than rebuilding neglected buildings, so a maintained stock lasts longer and releases money for quality inputs. Good utilisation — full timetabling of rooms and laboratories, sharing of facilities with the community, and accurate inventories that prevent loss and duplication — means the institution gets full value from assets it already owns. Safe, clean and attractive premises also raise the morale of teachers and students and the confidence of parents, which supports enrolment, attendance and retention, especially of girls, for whom safe water and sanitation facilities are often decisive.

The three dimensions work together, and effectiveness appears when they are managed as one system. A new science block (physical) is wasted without a science teacher (human) and a consumables budget (financial); a training programme (human) fails if teachers return to classrooms with no materials (physical) to apply what they learned. The effective head or administrator therefore plans the dimensions jointly, sets measurable goals for each, monitors indicators such as pupil–teacher ratios, unit costs, facility utilisation and learning outcomes, and corrects course when the indicators move the wrong way. This integrated, evidence-based management — not the mere possession of resources — is what distinguishes effective institutions from ineffective ones with similar budgets.

Conclusion

To conclude, educational resource management has five interlocking dimensions — human, financial, physical or material, information and time — and its purpose is to convert scarce inputs into achieved educational goals. Proper management of human resources supplies competent, motivated teachers and leaders; proper financial management directs honest, timely and priority-based funding to the activities that produce learning; and proper management of physical resources provides safe, well-maintained and fully utilised facilities. Managed separately, each dimension helps a little; managed together, with planning, monitoring and accountability, they produce institutional effectiveness: better learning, higher completion, greater equity and public confidence. For a country like Pakistan, where resources are scarce and needs are immense, this quality of management is not an administrative luxury but the main route to better education within the means available.

Question 2: Importance of Employee Development and Training Programmes in Human Resource Management (20 Marks)

Educational institutions require trained and competent staff. Explain the importance of employee development and training programs in Human Resource Management.

Introduction

An educational institution is, above all, its people. Buildings, budgets and books are necessary, but the quality of an institution is decided every day by the knowledge, skill and attitude of its teachers, heads and supporting staff. Human Resource Management (HRM) is the function responsible for obtaining, developing, motivating and retaining those people, and within HRM, employee development and training occupy a central place. This answer explains what training

and development mean, and then examines their importance from several angles: competence and teaching quality, adaptation to change, motivation and retention, leadership and succession, organisational culture, and the economical use of resources. It also notes the conditions under which training actually works and the problems that can waste it.

The need is continuous, not occasional. A teacher who qualified twenty years ago was prepared for a different curriculum, different textbooks, different examinations and a classroom without digital technology, and will now teach children whose world includes all of these. A head teacher promoted from the classroom may be an excellent teacher yet untrained in budgeting, supervision or data use. Staff development is the bridge between the staff an institution has and the staff its goals require, and an institution that stops building that bridge slowly falls behind its own curriculum.

Meaning of Training and Development in HRM

Training is a planned process of improving the knowledge, skills and attitudes employees need for their present job: induction for new entrants, refresher courses, subject-specific workshops, training in assessment or information technology, and short courses in administration are typical examples. Development is broader and longer term: it prepares employees for future responsibilities and growth through mentoring, further qualifications, leadership programmes, action research and career planning. Training asks, 'What must this teacher or officer be able to do now?'; development asks, 'What must our people become over the next five to ten years?' A complete HRM system needs both.

Within HRM, training and development connect the other functions into a cycle. Recruitment and selection bring in raw potential; training converts potential into performance; appraisal

identifies strengths and gaps, which become the training needs for the next round; and career development gives trained employees somewhere to grow, which protects the institution's investment. This is why training is not an occasional favour granted when funds allow, but a permanent management function with its own needs assessment, planning, delivery, evaluation and follow-up.

Importance for Competence and the Quality of Teaching

The first and most direct importance of training is competence. Teaching is skilled professional work: it requires command of the subject, understanding of how children learn, classroom management, questioning and explanation skills, assessment literacy and the ability to teach learners of different abilities together. Pre-service education provides a foundation, but it cannot finish the job, because curricula change, textbooks are revised and new evidence about learning appears. In-service training updates subject knowledge, demonstrates new methods, and allows teachers to practise them with feedback before using them with children. The result appears where it matters — in clearer explanations, better-managed classrooms, fairer assessment and, ultimately, higher student achievement.

The same logic applies to non-teaching and leadership roles. Heads trained in instructional leadership observe lessons usefully instead of merely checking registers; accountants and clerks trained in financial rules and software keep accounts that survive audit; laboratory and library staff trained in their crafts make facilities usable instead of locked and idle. Competence also protects the institution legally and ethically: staff trained in child protection, examination rules, procurement and records management make fewer costly mistakes. In a system where a large number of serving teachers entered service with modest qualifications, systematic in-service

development is often the fastest realistic route to raising the quality of the existing workforce, since replacing that workforce is neither possible nor desirable.

Importance for Adaptation to Change, Motivation and Retention

Education changes constantly — new curricula, new policies such as a single national curriculum, new examination patterns, inclusive education for children with disabilities, and above all digital technology, from smart boards and learning management systems to artificial-intelligence tools. Each change silently makes part of the staff's existing skill obsolete. Training is the mechanism by which change is converted from a threat into an improvement: teachers who are trained for a new curriculum implement it; teachers who are merely ordered to implement it often continue teaching the old one under new covers. Institutions that train continuously therefore adapt, while institutions that do not train accumulate a widening gap between official policy and classroom practice.

Training is also a powerful motivational and retention tool. Employees read investment in their development as evidence that the institution values them, and most teachers respond with greater commitment and professional pride. Development linked to a career path — master teacher, mentor, head teacher, education officer — gives ambitious staff a future inside the institution, reducing the loss of experienced people to other sectors and reducing the demoralisation that comes from a career with no growth. Training further builds confidence: a teacher who has mastered assessment or technology stops avoiding those tasks, participates in professional discussion, and is more willing to innovate. Finally, shared training creates a common professional language and culture — a staff that has learned together plans together,

observes one another's lessons and holds shared standards, which is the foundation of a genuine professional community rather than a collection of individuals.

Importance for Leadership, Succession and Economical Resource Use

Institutions fail quietly when leadership fails, and leadership is largely developed, not born. Development programmes identify and prepare future heads, deputies and officers before vacancies arise, so that promotion does not place an unprepared person in charge of hundreds of students and a large budget. Succession planning of this kind preserves institutional memory and continuity of improvement plans across transfers and retirements, which are frequent in public systems. Even for staff who never seek promotion, development in areas such as mentoring, research and curriculum leadership creates distributed leadership — many people able to lead a grade team, a subject department or a school improvement project.

Training is also economical, which matters greatly where resources are scarce. It is cheaper to upgrade a serving teacher than to recruit and induct a new one; cheaper to train storekeepers and accountants than to bear losses from error and leakage; and cheaper to train heads in preventive maintenance and procurement than to rebuild neglected facilities. Well-targeted training reduces wastage of other resources as well: teachers trained in the use of laboratories and libraries actually use them, and staff trained in data systems produce the information on which good allocation decisions depend. Training expenditure should therefore be read as investment with a return, not as a consumable cost — although, as the next section warns, the return appears only when training is properly designed and followed up.

Conditions for Effective Training and Common Problems

The importance claimed above is realised only under certain conditions. First, training must begin from a genuine needs assessment — classroom observation, appraisal results, student achievement data and staff consultation — rather than from a standard menu repeated every year. Second, it must be practical and classroom-focused, with demonstration, practice and materials teachers can take away, instead of long lectures about teaching delivered to passive listeners. Third, it needs follow-up: school-based mentoring, cluster meetings and head-teacher observation that help teachers apply new methods and solve the problems that appear when they try. Fourth, training must be connected to appraisal, recognition and career progression, so that effort is noticed and rewarded. Fifth, it must be equitably distributed, reaching rural, female and junior staff and not only those near training centres or close to decision-makers.

Against these conditions stand familiar problems: one-off workshops with no follow-up; cascade models in which content is diluted at every level until little reaches the classroom; training scheduled without regard to the teaching calendar; per-diem culture, in which attendance is motivated by allowances rather than learning; and the absence of any evaluation of whether teaching changed afterwards. Naming these problems is part of explaining the importance of training correctly: training matters enormously when it is needs-based, practical, followed up and linked to careers, and it wastes scarce money when it is not. A mature HRM system therefore evaluates its training as rigorously as it evaluates its teaching.

Conclusion

In conclusion, employee development and training programmes are important in human resource management because they convert recruited staff into competent, adaptable,

motivated and well-led professionals — and in education, professional quality is institutional quality. They raise teaching and learning standards, enable institutions to absorb curriculum and technological change, build motivation, retention and professional culture, prepare future leaders, and make economical use of every other resource the institution owns. For educational managers, the practical lesson is clear: treat training as a planned, needs-based and evaluated investment at the centre of HRM, with follow-up in the workplace, rather than as an occasional event. Institutions that develop their people develop themselves; institutions that neglect their people eventually find that no building, budget or book can compensate.

Question 3: Historical Perspectives of Financial Management in Education and Its Influence on Institutional Development (20 Marks)

Discuss the historical perspectives of financial management in education. Explain how financial management practices influence the development of educational institutions.

Introduction

How education is paid for, and how that money is managed, has never been a neutral technical matter. Across history, the source of educational finance has decided who may study, what may be taught, who controls the institution and how far the system can grow. This answer traces the historical development of financial management in education through its main stages — community, religious and charitable provision; state and colonial systems; post-independence expansion; the era of fiscal pressure and cost-sharing; and the modern era of decentralisation, partnership and results-based management — with particular reference to the experience of Pakistan. It then explains how financial management practices, in each era and today, influence

the development of educational institutions: their access, quality, equity, infrastructure, staffing, autonomy and accountability.

A historical perspective is useful for a practical reason: many features of present-day educational finance that appear natural — free public schooling, government grants-in-aid, fee-charging private institutions, community contributions, education budgets and audits — are in fact products of particular historical settlements, and they can be reformed because they were once created. Understanding where present practices came from helps a manager judge which of them to preserve, which to repair and which to replace.

Early Provision: Community, Religious and Charitable Finance

For most of history, education had no separate public budget at all. In the Muslim world, teaching was financed through mosques and madrasas supported by waqf — charitable endowments whose income paid teachers and maintained buildings — and by the personal charity of rulers and wealthy patrons. In Europe, churches and guilds played a similar role, and in South Asia temples, mosques, monasteries and local communities supported indigenous schools, paying teachers partly in kind. Financial 'management' in this era was simple: a patron or community provided, a teacher taught, and accounts, where they existed, were a matter of personal trust rather than public procedure.

This model had real strengths — education rooted in the community, low administrative cost and strong moral purpose — and real limits. Provision depended on the wealth and goodwill of patrons, so it was uneven between places and generations; there was no mechanism to guarantee a school in a poor village, no standard of teacher pay or qualification, and no systematic record from which a wider system could be planned. The endowment idea,

however, left a permanent legacy: the notion that education is a public good deserving dedicated, protected finance survives today in education foundations, endowment funds and scholarship trusts, and in the continuing role of philanthropy in Pakistani education.

State Systems, Colonial Finance and Grants-in-Aid

The modern era began when states accepted education as a public responsibility and created machinery to pay for it: education departments, budgets, inspectorates and grant systems. In British India this machinery took distinctive forms that still echo in Pakistan. Wood's Despatch of 1854 recommended a system of grants-in-aid, under which government would support privately managed schools that met prescribed conditions — an early and influential example of conditional financing, in which money followed compliance with standards. The Hunter Commission of 1882 pushed primary education toward local bodies, linking its finance to local taxation and local management. Colonial finance was nevertheless limited and unequal: spending favoured urban and higher education that served administrative needs, while mass primary education remained underfunded.

Two lasting lessons come from this stage. First, the instruments of financial management — budgets, grants with conditions, inspection linked to funding, and the division of responsibility between levels of government — were invented in this period and remain the basic toolkit today. Second, the era shows how financial choices quietly decide educational priorities: what a system funds generously, it gets; what it funds poorly, it lacks. A colonial state that valued clerks more than universal literacy produced exactly the system its budget described, which is a warning to every later government that its real education policy is written in its budget, not in its speeches.

Post-Independence Expansion, Fiscal Crisis and Cost-Sharing

After independence in 1947, Pakistan, like most new states, treated education as an instrument of nation-building and development, and public spending expanded to build schools, universities and teacher-training institutions for a rapidly growing population. Through the following decades the state remained the dominant financier, nationalisation in the 1970s brought many private institutions under public finance and control, and later policies re-encouraged private provision. The expansion was real, but it was chronically under-resourced: Pakistan's public spending on education has for long remained around two per cent of GDP, below the commonly cited international benchmark of four to six per cent, so growth in enrolment repeatedly outran growth in finance, class sizes rose, and the salary bill absorbed the overwhelming share of recurrent budgets, leaving little for books, laboratories, maintenance and training.

Internationally, the 1980s and 1990s brought structural adjustment, fiscal austerity and a new emphasis on efficiency, cost recovery and diversification of funding: fees and cost-sharing in higher education, community financing, school funds, public–private partnerships and donor-supported projects all grew. In Pakistan, social action programmes, education foundations and a rapidly expanding low-fee private sector changed the financial landscape permanently. This era taught hard lessons that still govern good practice: expansion without finance produces low quality; dependence on a single source of funds is fragile; and communities and private providers, properly regulated and supported, are permanent partners in financing education rather than temporary substitutes for the state.

The Modern Era: Decentralisation, Transparency and Results

Recent decades have added further layers to financial management in education. Decentralisation — strengthened in Pakistan by constitutional devolution of education to the provinces under the Eighteenth Amendment — moved budgeting closer to districts and schools through school councils, parent–teacher bodies and school-based funds. Management information systems and computerised accounting made it possible to track releases and expenditure in something close to real time. Public financial management reforms brought programme and output-based budgeting, medium-term budget frameworks and stronger audit. At the same time, funders increasingly attached conditions related to results — enrolment, retention and learning outcomes — rather than merely financing inputs, and new sources such as public–private partnerships, corporate social responsibility, alumni giving and international climate and development finance entered the picture.

The direction of travel across all these changes is consistent: from paying for institutions to paying for results; from centralised control of every rupee to delegated budgets with accountability; and from paper accounts seen by few to published budgets that parents and citizens can inspect. A financial manager in education today therefore needs historical awareness as well as technique, because the present system is a layered inheritance — endowment and community traditions, grant-in-aid conditionality, state expansion, cost-sharing and decentralised transparency all operating at once.

How Financial Management Practices Influence Institutional Development

Financial management practices influence institutional development, first, through access and growth. Whether an institution can open new classes, admit more students or start new

programmes is decided by predictable income and well-planned capital budgets; institutions with credible finances can borrow, attract grants and plan multi-year development, while those living hand-to-mouth cannot grow even when demand exists. Second, finance decides quality: the proportion of spending that reaches teaching materials, libraries, laboratories, assessment and teacher development — rather than being consumed entirely by salaries and arrears — is a direct product of budget structure and control, and it shows up in learning outcomes. Third, finance shapes equity: funding formulas, scholarships, fee waivers and targeted grants determine whether poor, rural and female students can attend, so financial management is one of the strongest equity instruments an institution possesses.

Fourth, financial practices determine staffing and stability. Regular, correctly calculated pay retains good teachers; chronic salary delays and arrears drive them away and destroy morale. Fifth, they determine the physical development of the institution, since construction, maintenance and replacement all depend on capital planning and honest procurement — the difference between a campus that improves every year and one that decays is usually a financial-management difference. Sixth, sound practice generates autonomy and trust together: governments and donors delegate more authority to institutions whose accounts are transparent and audited, and parents and communities contribute more readily to institutions they trust, so good financial management literally produces more resources. Conversely, weak practice — unplanned spending, lapsed funds, unaudited accounts and leakage — stunts development no matter how generous the budget, which is why two institutions with similar funding so often develop so differently.

Conclusion

To conclude, the history of educational finance moves from patronage and endowment, through state systems with conditional grants, mass expansion under fiscal strain, and cost-sharing, to today's decentralised, transparent and results-conscious management. Each stage left instruments and habits that still operate in our institutions. Throughout that history, one conclusion repeats: financial management practices are developmental decisions. They decide whether institutions grow or stagnate, whether quality inputs reach classrooms, whether the poor are included, whether teachers stay and whether buildings rise or crumble. The educational manager who understands this history reads a budget as what it truly is — the institution's future, written in numbers — and manages it accordingly.

Question 4: Challenges to Public Education Funding and Strategies for Effective Expenditure (20 Marks)

Public education funding faces many challenges. Explain the major challenges to public education funding and suggest strategies for effective expenditure on education.

Introduction

Public funding is the backbone of education in Pakistan and in most countries, because only the state can guarantee schooling to every child regardless of family income. Yet almost everywhere that backbone is under strain: needs grow faster than revenues, and too much of the money that is provided fails to produce learning. This answer explains the major challenges to public education funding — low overall allocation, population and enrolment pressure, the dominance of salary spending, unequal distribution, inflation and economic instability, weak governance and leakage, competing demands on the budget and dependence on uncertain

sources — and then suggests strategies for effective expenditure: not only spending more, but spending better, through priority-based budgeting, equitable formulas, efficiency measures, transparency and accountability.

The two halves of the question belong together. A system that raises more money but spends it badly will disappoint its citizens and eventually lose their willingness to pay taxes and fees; a system that spends efficiently but is starved of funds will still fail its children. Reform therefore has to work on both sides of the ledger at once: widening and protecting the resource base, and raising the educational return on every rupee already being spent.

Major Challenges to Public Education Funding

The first challenge is the low overall level of allocation. Pakistan's public expenditure on education has for long remained around two per cent of GDP, well below the four to six per cent commonly recommended internationally, and below the share a country with Pakistan's young population and large out-of-school population requires. The reasons are structural: a narrow tax base and low tax-to-GDP ratio limit total government revenue; debt servicing and defence claim large shares of the budget before social sectors are considered; and education, whose benefits arrive slowly, competes poorly in annual budget politics against demands with immediate visibility. The result is a permanent gap between the system's stated ambitions — universal enrolment, trained teachers, adequate facilities — and the funds actually provided to achieve them.

The second challenge is pressure of numbers and costs. A fast-growing school-age population, rising enrolment as more children enter and stay in school, and the expansion of secondary and higher education all multiply the number of teachers, classrooms and materials to be financed

each year. Inflation raises the price of construction, utilities, transport and supplies, so a budget that merely stands still in money terms falls in real terms. Within the budget, a third challenge dominates: salaries and allowances of a very large teaching workforce absorb the overwhelming majority of recurrent spending, leaving a small residue for the non-salary inputs — textbooks, science materials, libraries, assessment, maintenance and teacher training — on which quality depends. Systems therefore end up paying for schooling without fully paying for learning.

Fourth, distribution is unequal and often inefficient. Funds historically follow existing institutions and staffing rather than current need, so well-established urban areas retain advantages while remote, poor and disaster-affected districts lack schools and teachers; development budgets are sometimes allocated by influence rather than by enrolment and deprivation data. Fifth, governance weaknesses leak value at every stage: delayed releases compress spending into the last weeks of the financial year, weak procurement inflates prices, ghost employees and absenteeism consume salary funds without teaching, and poor record-keeping defeats audit. Sixth, the funding base itself is unstable: provincial and district revenues fluctuate with the economy, donor projects start and end, and emergencies — floods, earthquakes, pandemics — repeatedly divert funds and destroy facilities, as Pakistan's flood-damaged schools have shown. Finally, public funding must stretch across a mixed system in which a large and growing private sector educates many children, raising difficult questions about how public money should support, regulate and complement private provision without abandoning the state's duty to those who cannot pay.

Table 1: Major challenges to public education funding and the strategies that answer them

Challenge	Effect on Institutions	Strategy for Effective Expenditure
Low overall allocation	Shortage of teachers, materials and buildings	Raise the education share of public spending and protect it in the budget
Salaries absorb most of the budget	Little money left for quality inputs	Balance salary and non-salary spending; earmark funds for learning materials
Unequal distribution	Rural and poor areas fall further behind	Needs-based funding formulas weighted for poverty and remoteness
Leakage and weak accountability	Funds do not reach the classroom	Transparent budgets, audits, school-level reporting and community oversight
Rising enrolment and costs	Overcrowding and falling quality	Plan with enrolment projections; invest in cost-effective and distance modes

USMAN NOTES www.usmannotes.com

Strategies for Effective Expenditure on Education

The first group of strategies concerns how much is raised and protected. Governments should commit to a rising, predictable education share of the budget, moving toward international benchmarks, and protect education releases from mid-year cuts, because schools cannot plan on uncertain money. Revenue effort matters as much as budget shares: broadening the tax base finances education sustainably in a way that dependence on donors cannot. Within education, allocations should follow a published medium-term plan linked to enrolment projections, so that today's primary expansion is matched by tomorrow's secondary classrooms and teachers rather than arriving as a surprise.

The second group concerns how money is divided. Needs-based funding formulas — allocating staff and funds according to enrolment, poverty, remoteness, disability and gender gaps —

direct resources to where disadvantage is greatest and replace discretionary allocation with rules that can be defended publicly. Budget structure should deliberately rebalance salary and non-salary spending by earmarking protected lines for learning materials, school maintenance and teacher development, so that quality inputs are not the residual after salaries. Prioritisation within plans matters equally: foundations first — early grades, girls' schooling, teacher quality and basic facilities — before prestige projects, because returns are highest where foundations are built.

The third group concerns efficiency and value for money. Much can be gained without new money: reducing repetition and dropout so that places are not consumed twice for the same learning; rationalising very small or duplicated provision while protecting access; timetabling and multi-grade teaching where enrolment is thin; competitive, transparent procurement and framework contracts for standard items; preventive maintenance instead of costly reconstruction; and energy- and paper-saving measures in administration. Distance and digital modes, used where they genuinely fit, can extend secondary, teacher-training and higher education at lower unit cost — a lesson of particular importance for a system anchored by an open university. Each efficiency saving is, in effect, new funding found inside the existing budget.

The fourth group concerns transparency, accountability and partnership. Budgets, releases and school-level allocations should be published in forms citizens can understand; school councils and parent bodies should verify receipts and spending; audits should be timely and their findings acted upon; and education management information should track not only money spent but results obtained, so that expenditure is judged by enrolment, retention and learning

rather than by utilisation certificates alone. Public money can also be made to go further through regulated partnership: grants and support to low-fee private and community schools serving poor children, philanthropic and corporate contributions matched to public priorities, and community contributions of land, labour and oversight — always under public standards, so that partnership widens provision without surrendering equity.

Conclusion

In conclusion, public education funding in Pakistan faces the combined challenges of too little money, too many competing needs, salary-heavy budgets, unequal distribution, leakage and instability. The answer is a two-sided strategy: raise and protect the allocation through stronger revenue effort and medium-term planning, and transform its effectiveness through needs-based formulas, protected quality spending, efficiency measures, transparent and accountable management, and well-regulated partnership. Money is not the whole of educational improvement — leadership, teachers and management decide what money achieves — but without adequate and well-spent public funding, every other reform runs on an empty tank. Effective expenditure is therefore not an accountant's technicality; it is the point at which a society's promise of education becomes real in a child's classroom.

Question 5: Efficient and Effective Allocation and Utilisation of Educational Resources (20 Marks)

Explain the concept of efficient and effective allocation and utilization of educational resources.

Discuss its importance for achieving educational goals.

Introduction

Educational resources are always scarce in relation to the goals set for them. No ministry, district or school in Pakistan commands all the teachers, funds, buildings and materials it could usefully employ; choices are therefore unavoidable, and the quality of those choices is what the concepts of allocation, utilisation, efficiency and effectiveness describe. This answer first explains the concepts — what allocation and utilisation mean, and how efficiency differs from, and must be balanced with, effectiveness and equity — and then discusses why efficient and effective allocation and utilisation are so important for achieving educational goals such as access, quality, equity, completion and value for public money. Practical measures for improvement are considered along the way.

The subject is sometimes treated as dry financial technique, but in reality it is the daily substance of educational leadership. Every posting of a teacher, every budget line, every decision to repair one school rather than build another, is an act of allocation; every timetable, maintenance schedule and stock register is an act of utilisation. Managers who understand the concepts make these decisions deliberately and can defend them; managers who do not make them by habit, pressure or accident, and the goals of the institution suffer accordingly.

The Concepts: Allocation, Utilisation, Efficiency and Effectiveness

Allocation is the distribution of available resources among competing uses, levels, regions, institutions and programmes. It answers questions such as: how much should go to primary as against secondary and higher education? Which districts receive new teachers and schools? Within a school, how should funds be divided among salaries, materials, maintenance and activities? Allocation may follow historical patterns, political decisions or — in a well-managed

system — explicit criteria and formulas based on need, enrolment and policy priorities. Utilisation is the way allocated resources are actually used once they reach the institution: whether teachers teach their full timetable, classrooms and laboratories are occupied productively, funds are spent on the heads for which they were given, and materials reach students rather than remaining in stores. Allocation without utilisation is paper planning; utilisation without sensible allocation is busy waste.

Efficiency and effectiveness are the two tests applied to both processes. Efficiency concerns the relationship between inputs and outputs: achieving the maximum output from given resources, or a given output at minimum cost — sometimes summarised as 'doing things right'. In education, efficiency appears in measures such as cost per student, cost per graduate, pupil–teacher ratios and the reduction of internal wastage through repetition and dropout; a system in which many children repeat classes or leave before completing is internally inefficient even if its classrooms look busy. Effectiveness concerns the achievement of goals: the extent to which students actually learn, complete and progress, and institutions fulfil their mission — 'doing the right things'. A school may be efficient in processing students cheaply yet ineffective if they learn little, and effective in examination results yet inefficient if it achieves them at extravagant cost.

Table 1: Efficiency, effectiveness and equity compared

Concept	Central Question	Example in Education
Efficiency	Are we obtaining the most output from the inputs used?	Reducing repetition and dropout so that each rupee produces more graduates
Effectiveness	Are we achieving the goals we set	Students actually attaining the

	out to achieve?	prescribed learning outcomes
Equity	Are resources shared fairly among learners and regions?	Extra funding and teachers for disadvantaged and remote schools

A third test, equity, must stand beside the other two. Allocation that is efficient and effective on average may still be unjust if it concentrates resources on the already advantaged. Sound resource management therefore pursues all three together: resources distributed fairly (equity), used without waste (efficiency), and directed to goals that matter (effectiveness). Keeping the three in balance is the manager's real task, because each can be pursued at the expense of the others — the cheapest provision is rarely the most effective, and the most effective provision for a few may be the least equitable for the many.

Principles and Process of Sound Allocation and Utilisation

Sound allocation follows recognisable principles. It is needs-based: driven by data on enrolment, population, deprivation and existing provision rather than by precedent or pressure. It is goal-linked: funds and staff follow the institution's stated priorities, so the budget is the plan expressed in numbers. It is transparent: criteria and formulas are published, and institutions know why they received what they received. It is flexible within rules: heads have enough discretion to meet local needs, inside a framework of accountability. And it is reviewed: allocations are revisited as enrolment, costs and results change, instead of hardening into permanent entitlements disconnected from present need.

Sound utilisation has its own disciplines: full and punctual use of teaching time through workable timetables and protection of instructional hours; planned use and sharing of rooms,

laboratories, libraries and equipment, with records of actual use; timely spending of funds within the financial year on the purposes intended, avoiding both lapse and year-end rush; care and preventive maintenance of assets so their service life is long; and accurate inventories that prevent loss, duplication and idle stocks. Underlying both allocation and utilisation is information: without reliable data on students, staff, facilities, costs and outcomes, neither good distribution nor good use is possible, which is why investment in EMIS and school-level record-keeping is itself a high-return allocation.

Importance for Achieving Educational Goals

The importance of efficient and effective allocation and utilisation begins with access. Educational goals such as universal primary and expanding secondary education require places for every child, and places are created by allocating teachers and classrooms where children actually are and using them fully — double shifts where buildings are scarce, multi-grade teaching where enrolment is thin, and distance modes where population is scattered. Misallocation produces the familiar paradox of overcrowded urban classrooms beside under-used rural facilities, and of new buildings raised where political influence is strong rather than where out-of-school children live. Every misallocated teacher and room is, in effect, a group of children denied the access that national goals promise them.

Quality goals depend on utilisation even more than on allocation. Learning improves when trained teachers are posted to the subjects and grades they are trained for, when class sizes are kept teachable, when textbooks and materials arrive before the term begins and are used daily rather than stored, and when instructional time is protected from erosion by administrative work, examinations and unscheduled closures. Completion and equity goals are likewise

resource questions: reducing dropout is partly a matter of allocating support — remedial teaching, stipends, transport, water and sanitation — to the students most at risk of leaving, and equity goals are met only when formulas deliberately send more resources to disadvantaged learners and regions than an equal-per-head distribution would give them.

Finally, efficient and effective resource use is important because it creates the resources for further progress and the legitimacy to ask for them. A system that demonstrably converts its budget into learning can argue credibly for a larger budget; a system known for lapse, leakage and waste cannot. Efficiency gains — lower repetition, better procurement, maintained buildings — release funds for expansion and quality without new taxation, which in a fiscally constrained country may be the largest realistic source of 'new' educational finance. There is also an ethical dimension: public educational resources are taken from citizens and held in trust for children, so wasting them is not a victimless administrative fault but a breach of that trust. For all these reasons, allocation and utilisation are not back-office details beneath the notice of educational leaders; they are among the main instruments by which educational goals are either achieved or quietly abandoned.

Conclusion

To conclude, allocation is the distribution of scarce resources among competing claims, and utilisation is the productive use of what is distributed; efficiency tests whether outputs are maximised for the inputs used, effectiveness tests whether the goals themselves are achieved, and equity tests whether the distribution is fair. Together they form the complete standard of educational resource management. Their importance for achieving educational goals is direct and cumulative: they decide whether access goals become real school places, whether quality

goals become real learning, whether equity goals reach the disadvantaged, and whether public trust sustains the funding on which everything else depends. The practical message for managers is to allocate by need and evidence, utilise fully and carefully, monitor both processes with honest indicators, and keep efficiency, effectiveness and equity in deliberate balance.

USMAN NOTES
www.usmannotes.com

WhatsApp Orders: +92 324 5836626