ALLAMA IQBAL OPEN UNIVERSITY

USMAN NOTES
www.usmannotes.com

Name:

Registration No.:

Course Code: 1691

Program: PGD ELM

Semester: Autumn 2026

ALLAMA IQBAL OPEN UNIVERSITY, ISLAMABAD

Course: School Community Relations (1691) • Level: PGD ELM

Semester: Autumn 2026

Assignment No. 1 (Units 1- 4)

SOLVED ASSIGNMENT GUIDE

(For Study & Reference)

Note: This guide is prepared for study and reference purposes. Students are advised to read the relevant units of the course book carefully and write the assignment in their own words.

USMAN NOTES www.usmannotes.com

Question 1: The School Head as an Agent of Social Change (20 Marks)

How does a school head contribute to social change in their local scenario?

Introduction

Social change means a lasting shift in the attitudes, habits, relationships and institutions of a community: how people treat girls' education, how they settle disputes, how they value cleanliness, health, honesty and cooperation. In most localities of Pakistan, the school is the one public institution that touches almost every household, and the school head is its most visible public figure. Unlike an ordinary teacher, the head teacher or principal stands at the meeting point of the school and society. Parents meet him, elders consult him, officials visit his office and children carry his words home. Because of this strategic position, a school head who understands his role can become a powerful, peaceful agent of social change in his local

scenario, while a head who confines himself to files and attendance registers wastes that influence. This answer explains the concept of social change, the special position of the school head, and the concrete ways in which he contributes to positive change in the locality.

The School Head's Special Position in the Community

Three features give the school head unusual influence. First, he enjoys trust. In a village or mohalla where government officials are often distant or feared, the head teacher is a known, educated and usually respected person whose advice is sought on matters far beyond schooling, from writing an application to settling a family quarrel. Second, he has daily contact with the future generation. Every change he plants in the minds of children today becomes the behaviour of adults tomorrow, so his influence works on the deepest level of social change, the level of values. Third, he controls a public space and a public platform. The school building, its ground, its morning assembly and its functions are resources that can be used for community purposes, from health campaigns to adult literacy. A wise head uses all three assets deliberately and patiently, knowing that social change is slow, faces resistance, and succeeds only when the community feels ownership of it.

Changing Attitudes Towards Education Itself

The first and most direct contribution of a school head is to change the community's attitude towards education, especially the education of girls and of poor children. In many localities children are kept home for labour, early marriage or household work. The head counters this through enrolment drives, door-to-door visits, meetings with parents and personal persuasion of influential elders and religious leaders. When a respected head explains, in the local

language and with local examples, that an educated daughter becomes a healthier mother and an educated son earns with dignity, resistance slowly melts. He also makes the school worth attending: punctual teachers, a clean building, co-curricular activities and public celebration of successful students all demonstrate that schooling produces visible results. Over a few years, rising enrolment, falling dropout and girls continuing to middle and secondary classes are themselves major social changes, and they begin with the head's conviction and effort.

Modelling New Values Through the School's Own Life

A school head changes society most convincingly by what his school practises, not only by what it preaches. If the school is run on merit, without favouritism in admissions, appointments of monitors or distribution of free books and uniforms, the community learns that fairness is possible in public life. If girls and boys, rich and poor, sit together in the same assembly and are treated with equal respect, children absorb equality as a habit. Cleanliness drives, tree plantation, care of public property, respect for the rights of minorities, honesty in examinations and refusal of cheating are all small daily lessons that travel from the school into homes. The head who personally arrives on time, listens patiently to a poor parent, and refuses a bribe or an improper recommendation silently teaches the whole locality that integrity still works. Such modelling is the slowest form of social change, but it is also the most durable.

The School as a Community Centre

Progressive school heads open the school to the community and thereby change the community's habits. The school can host adult literacy classes in the evening, health and vaccination camps with the health department, awareness sessions on clean water, dengue

prevention, drug abuse and early marriages, and meetings of the school council or parent-teacher council. During floods or other emergencies, the school often becomes the relief centre, and the head's organising role strengthens public trust and cooperation across biradari and political divisions. By inviting local artisans, farmers, health workers and successful former students to speak to children, the head also breaks the wall between book knowledge and local life. Gradually the community begins to see the school not as a government building but as its own institution, and that sense of ownership is itself a profound social change in localities where state institutions are viewed with suspicion.

Linking the School with Local Institutions and Leadership

Social change requires allies, and the head builds them systematically. He keeps cordial relations with the local councillor, the imam of the mosque, the numberdar or village elders, the staff of the basic health unit, the police post and local NGOs, and he involves them in school functions and committees. When these figures publicly support girls' enrolment, examination honesty or a drug-free environment, their combined voice changes social norms far faster than the head's voice alone. The head also acts as a bridge in the opposite direction: he carries the community's genuine problems, such as a broken hand pump, an absent health worker or a dangerous road crossing, to the education department and local administration. A head who solves two or three such problems earns the moral authority to ask the community for sacrifices in return, whether land for a classroom, voluntary labour, or protection of the school from vandalism and encroachment.

Challenges and the Patient Method of Change

The head's work as a change agent is not free of difficulties. Conservative attitudes, poverty, factional politics and interference by influential persons can all block reform, and a head who moves too fast or confronts elders publicly may lose the very trust he needs. The successful head therefore works by persuasion rather than compulsion, begins with small visible wins, shares credit generously, and never uses the school for his own political or sectarian purposes. He also documents and celebrates progress, for example by displaying enrolment and result charts, so that the community can see that change is real. Above all, he remains consistent: social change collapses when the community discovers that the reformer's own practice contradicts his message.

USMAN NOTES www.usmannotes.com

Conclusion

To conclude, a school head contributes to social change in his local scenario through influence rather than formal power. He changes attitudes towards education, especially for girls and the poor; he models fairness, cleanliness, honesty and equality in the daily life of the school; he turns the school building into a centre for literacy, health and community cooperation; and he links the school with local leadership and government departments so that new norms receive broad support. Because children carry these values into every home, the head's quiet, patient and consistent work gradually reshapes the beliefs and behaviour of the whole locality. A school head is therefore much more than an administrator of registers and routines: properly understood, his office is one of the strongest instruments of peaceful social change available in our society.

Question 2: How Families Help to Improve School Management (20 Marks)

How can the families of children in a school help to improve school management?

Introduction

School management means the planning, organisation and supervision of everything that makes a school work: teaching, attendance, discipline, buildings, funds, records and relations with the community. Traditionally this was treated as the job of the head teacher and the education department alone, but experience in Pakistan and elsewhere has shown that schools improve fastest when the families of the children are active partners in managing them. Families are not outsiders; they are the school's clients, its owners in a moral sense, and the people most affected by its success or failure. Their knowledge of the child, their labour, their small resources and their social influence can strengthen every area of management. This answer explains the main ways in which families help to improve school management, the structures through which this help is organised, and the conditions that make family participation effective rather than nominal.

Participation in School Councils and Committees

The most formal channel of family help is membership of the school council, school management committee or parent-teacher council that education departments have established in public schools. Parent members sit with the head teacher and teachers to approve the school's annual plan, monitor the use of funds such as the school council grant,

identify repair and construction needs, and review attendance and results. Because parents live in the locality, they know which contractor is honest, which supplier overcharges, and whether the water pump repaired on paper is actually working. Their signatures and presence create transparency: funds that were once spent quietly are now discussed in an open meeting whose minutes are recorded. Parents also bring the community's priorities into planning, for example demanding a boundary wall for girls' safety or toilets before a decorative gate, which makes management responsive to real needs instead of departmental routine.

Supporting Attendance, Punctuality and Discipline

No management system inside the school can succeed if children do not come regularly and on time, and here families are decisive. Parents who ensure that the child sleeps early, carries books and reaches school before assembly make the head's timetable and the teacher's lesson plan workable. When the school informs families of absence through a note, phone call or home visit, cooperative parents respond, explain genuine problems such as illness or harvest work, and agree on how the child will catch up. Families also extend the school's discipline into the home: they supervise homework time, limit excessive mobile and television use, and support the school's rules instead of undermining them in front of the child. A school where parents and teachers send the same message about regularity, honesty and respect faces far fewer discipline problems, and its management can concentrate on teaching rather than crowd control.

WhatsApp Orders: +92 324 5836626

Help in Teaching and Learning

Families improve the academic side of management more than is usually recognised. Parents who read with young children, listen to their recitation, sign the homework diary and attend result days give the school reliable information about how learning is progressing and where it is failing. Educated parents volunteer as resource persons, judges for competitions, or helpers in reading programmes; less educated parents still contribute by telling stories, teaching practical skills and creating a home environment that values study. When families report honestly that a textbook has not arrived, a teacher remains absent, or a child cannot see the blackboard, management receives feedback that no inspection can supply. Schools that organise parent meetings class by class, instead of one ceremonial annual function, convert this feedback into concrete decisions about remedial classes, seating, timetable changes and library use.

Contributions of Labour, Material and Local Knowledge

Many schools, especially in rural areas, survive because families contribute resources that the government budget never covers. Parents help in repairing furniture, whitewashing classrooms, planting and watering trees, cleaning the compound before an inspection or function, and guarding the school during holidays. Skilled parents, masons, carpenters, electricians and plumbers, often provide their services free or at reduced rates, and local families donate land, bricks or furniture. Families also supply management with local knowledge: they know the seasonal calendar of harvesting and migration that affects attendance, the safe routes children walk, the water and health problems of the locality, and the social sensitivities a head teacher

from outside must respect. Management decisions taken with this knowledge, such as adjusting a school function to the harvest season or arranging safe transport for girls, are simply better decisions.

Accountability, Protection and Moral Support

Families improve management by keeping the school accountable and by protecting it. Alert parents question ghost enrolment, misuse of funds, teacher absenteeism and corporal punishment through the council, the head and, when necessary, the district authorities; this healthy pressure keeps the staff alert. At the same time, a community that feels ownership defends the school against encroachment, theft and vandalism, and stands behind the head when he resists improper political pressure for transfers, admissions or examination favours. Moral support matters as much as criticism. Parents who attend school functions, appreciate teachers publicly and speak well of the school in the bazaar and the mosque raise the staff's morale and the institution's prestige, which in turn attracts better enrolment and makes teachers wish to stay. Management, in short, works best in a climate where the school is watched, helped and honoured by the same families.

Conditions for Effective Family Participation

Family help improves management only when it is organised well. Meetings must be held at times working parents can attend, conducted in the local language, and given real decisions to take; a council that merely signs blank papers soon stops attending. Representation should include mothers, poor parents and minority families, not only the village elite, otherwise management captures the school for a few households. The head must share information

honestly, accounts, results and problems alike, and must act visibly on parents' suggestions so that participation feels worthwhile. Boundaries are equally important: families support and monitor management, but they should not interfere in professional matters such as the transfer of a disliked teacher or the marks of their own child. Within these limits, participation strengthens rather than disturbs the head's authority.

Conclusion

In conclusion, the families of children help to improve school management in almost every dimension of a school's life. Through councils and committees they share planning and keep funds transparent; at home they secure attendance, punctuality and discipline; by feedback and direct help they improve teaching and learning; with labour, material and local knowledge they fill the gaps of the official budget; and by watchfulness and moral support they keep the school accountable, safe and respected. A head teacher who treats families as partners gains extra hands, extra eyes and extra legitimacy, while a school that shuts its gate to parents manages with one hand tied. Effective school management is therefore not the head's burden alone; it is a shared enterprise in which organised, informed and respected families play an indispensable role.

Question 3: Policy of Parental Involvement in School Management and Its Practice in the District (20 Marks)

What is the current policy of involvement of parents in school management, and how is it being practiced in your district?

Introduction

Parental involvement in school management is no longer a matter of a head teacher's goodwill; it is official policy in Pakistan. Successive national education policies, provincial school reforms and the rules of the education departments all require that parents and community members share in running public schools through elected councils and committees. The policy rests on a simple logic: the state alone cannot monitor thousands of schools, parents have the strongest interest in their quality, and local participation brings transparency, resources and accountability. Yet policy on paper and practice on the ground differ considerably from district to district. This answer first describes the current policy framework, its main instruments and objectives, and then examines how the policy is actually being practiced at district level, including its achievements, its weaknesses and the gap between the two. Students should adapt the district description to the conditions of their own district.

The Policy Framework

The constitutional and policy basis of parental involvement is broad. Article 25-A of the Constitution makes free and compulsory education for children aged five to sixteen a state responsibility, and provincial free and compulsory education acts translate this duty into law. National education policies from 1998-2010 onwards, the National Education Policy Framework 2018 and provincial education sector plans repeatedly emphasise community participation, decentralisation and school-based management as strategies for improving access and quality. In the devolved system, district education authorities and school councils became the practical vehicles of this policy. The policy expects parents to participate in planning, in monitoring

teachers and funds, in enrolment campaigns and in the general oversight of the school, while professional and administrative authority remains with the head teacher and the department. Donor-supported reform programmes in Punjab, Sindh, Khyber Pakhtunkhwa and Balochistan have all reinforced the same direction by funding school councils and training their members.

Main Instruments: School Councils and Parent-Teacher Councils

The central instrument of the policy is the school council, known in different provinces as the School Council (SC), School Management Committee (SMC), or Parent-Teacher Council/Association (PTC/PTA). Its composition, as notified by the departments, generally includes the head teacher as secretary or convener, elected parent members forming the majority, a chairperson chosen from among the parents or community, teacher representatives and, in some provinces, a retired educationist or notable of the locality; lady members are prescribed so that mothers are represented. The council is formed for a fixed term, usually one to two years, through a general meeting of parents. Its notified functions include approving the school development plan, operating the council bank account jointly, utilising the school council or non-salary grant for minor repairs, furniture, learning material and utilities, monitoring enrolment, attendance and dropout, and meeting at least once a month with recorded minutes. The table below summarises the policy design.

Table 1: The school council as designed in policy

Feature	Policy provision
Composition	Head teacher (secretary/convener), elected

	parent majority, parent chairperson, teacher and community representatives, including women members
Formation	Elected in a general parents' meeting for a term of one to two years
Meetings	At least monthly; minutes recorded and shared with the cluster/district office
Funds	Joint bank account; school council / non-salary grant spent with council approval and record
Functions	School development plan, minor works and purchases, enrolment and attendance monitoring, oversight of facilities and results

USMAN NOTES www.usmannotes.com

Objectives Behind the Policy

The policy pursues several objectives at once. The first is accountability: parents present in the locality can see daily whether teachers attend and funds are honestly used, which inspectors visiting twice a year cannot. The second is resource mobilisation, since councils can raise local contributions and use small grants quickly without waiting for lengthy departmental procedures. The third is relevance: local people set local priorities, so money goes to a leaking roof or girls' toilets rather than to items chosen in a distant office. The fourth is enrolment and retention, because council members conduct door-to-door campaigns and pursue dropouts in

their own neighbourhoods. Finally, the policy aims at democratisation: by giving ordinary parents, including the poor, a recognised voice in a public institution, it builds civic habits and trust between the state and society. These objectives explain why every reform document of the last three decades has retained and expanded the council system despite changes of government.

How the Policy Is Practiced in the District

In practice, councils exist in almost all government schools of the district and are reconstituted at the start of the session, usually in meetings arranged by the head teacher and attended by a modest number of parents. The council bank accounts are functional, and the annual school council grant is in fact spent through them: whitewash, repair of doors and hand pumps, purchase of mats, fans, stationery and sports items are commonly decided in council meetings and recorded, however briefly, in the minutes register. Enrolment campaigns at the opening of the academic year are the council's most visible activity; members join teachers in visiting homes, and in many villages the chairperson's personal influence brings out-of-school children, particularly girls, into school. Councils are also used to verify enrolment figures, to witness the distribution of free textbooks and uniforms, and to sit on the committees that recommend students for stipends and scholarships, which has visibly reduced complaints of favouritism.

At the same time, practice falls short of policy in several respects. Meetings in many schools are irregular and are sometimes held on paper only, with signatures obtained afterwards; the monthly rhythm prescribed in the notification is observed mainly in better-managed schools near the district headquarters. Membership is frequently dominated by the local elite, the

landlord's family, the councillor's nominees or the head teacher's trusted parents, while poor parents, daily-wage earners and mothers attend rarely, partly because meetings are held at inconvenient times and partly because they feel their voice carries no weight. Training of council members, which the policy assumes, is sporadic; many members do not know the rules for operating the account or the limits of their authority, so they either sign blindly or interfere in professional matters. Grants are small and often released late, which limits planning to petty repairs. District monitoring focuses on whether a council exists and its funds are utilised, rather than on whether it deliberates genuinely, and head teachers vary widely: the committed ones make the council a real partner, while others treat it as a signature-obtaining formality.

Assessment and Suggestions

The fair assessment is that the policy has succeeded institutionally but only partially in spirit. The structure is universal, funds do flow through parents' hands, and enrolment work is genuinely shared; but deliberation, inclusion and training lag behind. Practice can be brought closer to policy by simple steps: fixed meeting days announced through students and the mosque loudspeaker where appropriate, rotation of membership to break elite capture, reserved and actively encouraged participation of mothers, short practical training for new members at cluster level, timely release of grants with simple reporting formats, and district officers reviewing the quality of minutes instead of merely counting meetings. Heads should be appreciated publicly when their councils function well, so that genuine participation becomes a mark of professional honour.

Conclusion

To conclude, the current policy formally involves parents in school management through elected school councils and parent-teacher bodies that share planning, operate joint accounts, spend council grants, monitor attendance and support enrolment. In the district this machinery exists everywhere and delivers real benefits in minor works, textbook distribution and admission campaigns, yet its deliberative and inclusive spirit is realised only in the better schools: elsewhere meetings are irregular, membership is elite-dominated and training is weak. The gap is therefore not one of policy but of implementation. With regular meetings, broader representation, practical training and honest information from head teachers, the same policy can turn parents from formal signatories into genuine partners in managing the district's schools.

USMAN NOTES www.usmannotes.com

Question 4: Why School Heads Need Conflict Resolution and Negotiation Skills (20 Marks)

Why do school heads need to learn conflict resolution strategies and negotiation skills?

Introduction

A school is a small society, and like every society it generates conflict. Teachers disagree over timetables and duties, parents complain about marks and discipline, students quarrel, communities dispute boundaries and admissions, and departments issue demands that do not match the school's resources. The school head stands at the centre of all these pressures. If he handles conflict badly, by ignoring it, suppressing it or taking sides, small disputes harden into

factions, grievances reach the district office and the press, and teaching suffers. If he handles it well, conflict becomes information, energy and even improvement. Conflict resolution and negotiation are therefore not optional soft skills for a head; they are core professional tools of educational leadership. This answer explains the nature of conflict in schools, the main situations in which a head must resolve and negotiate, the strategies he needs to learn, and the institutional benefits that follow.

Conflict Is Inevitable in Schools

Conflict arises in schools from predictable sources. Resources are scarce: there are fewer rooms, periods, computers and increments than people want, so distribution itself creates grievance. Roles overlap and expectations differ; a teacher sees a duty as clerical burden while the head sees it as shared responsibility. Values differ too, about strictness and leniency, about religious and social questions, and about how much parents should interfere. Add personality differences, rumours, biradari and political loyalties imported from the locality, and pressure from unions and officials, and it is clear that a head who expects a conflict-free school misunderstands his workplace. Educational research treats conflict as neutral: unmanaged conflict is destructive, but well-managed conflict exposes problems, clears misunderstandings and produces better decisions. The head's task is not to eliminate conflict but to resolve it fairly, early and at the lowest possible level, which is exactly what conflict resolution strategies teach.

Conflicts Among Staff

The head's most frequent negotiations are with his own teachers. Disputes arise over the timetable, examination and invigilation duties, leave, distribution of additional charges,

seniority, and perceived favouritism. A head trained in resolution first listens to both sides separately, separates the persons from the problem, and identifies the real interest beneath the stated position; a teacher fighting for a free first period may actually be managing a sick parent at home. He then generates options, a rotating duty roster, an exchange of periods, a transparent criterion agreed by the staff, and records the settlement so it becomes precedent rather than personal favour. Without these skills, the head either imposes decisions and breeds silent resentment, or yields to the loudest teacher and teaches the staff that pressure works. Either way his authority declines. With them, the staff room remains a team, and the head's fairness becomes his strongest source of power.

Conflicts with Parents and Community

Parents approach the school emotionally, because the subject is their own child. Complaints about punishment, marks, fees or a teacher's remark can quickly become public confrontations, especially when influential families or local politicians take them up. A skilled head defuses such situations by receiving the parent respectfully, hearing the complaint fully before replying, verifying facts from records and teachers, admitting a genuine mistake immediately, and explaining rules calmly when the school is right. Negotiation enters when the parent demands something the rules do not allow, a change of section, condoning of absence, or leniency in examinations: the head must refuse the improper part without humiliating the parent, and offer every legitimate help instead, extra coaching, a re-check of the paper, counselling for the child. Community-level conflicts, over land, encroachment, use of the school ground, or the conduct of a function, demand the same method on a larger stage, often with elders and

council members as mediators. A head who resolves such cases locally prevents complaints from travelling upward and protects the school's reputation.

Negotiation with Authorities, Unions and Other Stakeholders

The head also negotiates upward and outward. With the district office he must argue for teachers against vacancies, for funds, for repair works and for relief from unrealistic data demands, presenting his case with facts, enrolment figures, results and photographs rather than complaints. With teachers' associations he negotiates the local implementation of orders, duty arrangements during examinations or campaigns, and the handling of individual grievances, seeking settlements that respect both the department's instructions and the staff's dignity. With NGOs, donors and philanthropists he negotiates support, ensuring that help, a classroom, a water filter, scholarships, matches the school's real priorities and does not create future liabilities. In all these settings the same negotiation principles apply: prepare with information, know one's limits and alternatives, aim for a win-win outcome, keep relationships intact for the next round, and put agreements in writing. A head who negotiates well brings resources into the school; a head who only forwards applications receives whatever is left.

Strategies and Skills the Head Must Learn

Conflict resolution and negotiation can be learned, and heads should be trained in their main strategies. These include active listening and questioning; identifying interests behind positions; keeping discussion on issues rather than personalities; mediation, in which the head helps two parties settle, and arbitration, in which after hearing both he decides and explains; choosing the right style for the situation, competing where a rule or a child' safety is at stake,

accommodating where the issue is small and the relationship precious, compromising where both sides must move, and collaborating where a joint better solution exists. Timing and setting matter too: private, prompt, calm discussion resolves most cases, while public rebukes and delayed responses multiply them. Emotional self-control is the foundation of all these techniques; a head who loses his temper loses the case whatever its merits. Training courses for the PGD in Educational Leadership and Management rightly include these strategies because they convert a head's daily firefighting into disciplined professional practice.

Benefits for the Institution

The benefits of these skills are institutional, not merely personal. Schools with heads skilled in resolution show better staff morale and lower absenteeism, because teachers trust that grievances will be heard fairly. Parent satisfaction rises and enrolment stabilises, as the community learns that complaints are resolved inside the school. Time and energy are saved: every dispute settled at school level is one that does not consume the district office, the courts or the media. Decision quality improves because negotiation surfaces information and objections before implementation. Most importantly, students learn from the climate around them; a school where adults settle differences by discussion teaches democratic conduct more effectively than any textbook chapter on citizenship.

Conclusion

In conclusion, school heads need conflict resolution strategies and negotiation skills because conflict is built into the life of a school and negotiation is the head's daily method of obtaining cooperation and resources. Among teachers he must settle disputes over duties and fairness;

with parents and the community he must absorb emotion, protect rules and preserve goodwill; with authorities, unions and donors he must argue the school's case persuasively. Learned strategies, listening, interest-based problem solving, mediation, the right choice of style, and calm self-control, turn these encounters from threats into instruments of improvement. A head's degrees and seniority may win him the chair, but it is his ability to resolve conflict and negotiate honourable settlements that wins him the school.

Question 5: The Head Teacher as a Source of Inspiration (20 Marks)

How can a head teacher become a source of inspiration for the students, teachers, and parents?

Introduction

Inspiration is influence that works from within: an inspired student studies without being pushed, an inspired teacher gives more than duty demands, and inspired parents sacrifice willingly for the school. Of all the resources a head teacher can mobilise, inspiration is the cheapest and the most powerful, because it multiplies everyone else's effort. Yet inspiration cannot be commanded or purchased; it flows from what the head teacher is, what he does daily, and how he treats people. Students, teachers and parents watch the head closely and judge him by small things, his punctuality, his temper, his honesty in small amounts of money, his response to failure. This answer explains how a head teacher becomes a source of inspiration for each of the three groups, students, teachers and parents, and the personal qualities on which this influence rests.

Personal Example: The Foundation of Inspiration

Every path to inspiration begins with personal example. A head who reaches school before the assembly, dresses neatly and simply, keeps his office open and orderly, speaks politely to the lowest employee and the poorest parent, and never uses school property or funds for private benefit teaches a complete moral curriculum without a single lecture. His integrity must be visible in sensitive places: examination duties, purchases, distribution of free books, and recommendations, because one act of favouritism destroys years of sermons. Equally inspiring is his own relationship with learning, a head who reads, attends training eagerly, visits classrooms and discusses books shows that education is a lifelong pursuit, not a ladder one climbs and forgets. People are inspired by consistency over time: the head who behaves the same in inspection week and in an ordinary rainy week earns a trust that no speech can create. Character, in short, is the head's first qualification as an inspirer.

Inspiring Students

For students, the head teacher should be a visible, approachable and encouraging presence rather than a distant figure of fear. He inspires by knowing and greeting students, attending their matches, debates and exhibitions, and publicly honouring achievement in the morning assembly, not only the top position holders but also the most improved student, the best helper and the honest finder of a lost article. Such recognition tells ordinary children that effort is noticed. He inspires further by setting high but believable expectations and by sharing stories, of former students of the same school who rose through education, and of his own struggles, so that success appears attainable to a poor child. Discipline administered by an inspiring head is

corrective, not humiliating: he speaks to the erring student privately, separates the act from the child's worth, and gives a way back. Students also draw inspiration from a head who defends their interests, arranging coaching for board classes, facilities for girls, or help for a talented child whose family cannot afford an examination fee.

Inspiring Teachers

Teachers are inspired by a head who leads as the first among professionals. He teaches a class himself where possible, prepares seriously, and invites observation, which removes the distance between the office and the classroom. He distributes duties, leave and opportunities for training fairly and explains his criteria openly, because perceived injustice is the greatest killer of teacher motivation. Appreciation is his daily instrument: a word in the staff room, a note on a well-kept register, mention of a teacher's success in assembly, and defence of his teachers before unreasonable complaints all tell the staff their work is seen. An inspiring head also grows his teachers; he encourages further study, shares articles and ideas, gives young teachers responsibility with guidance, and treats mistakes made in honest initiative as lessons rather than crimes. When teachers believe their head is competent, fair and loyal to them, they repay him with the discretionary effort, staying late for weak students, preparing charts, coaching teams, that no department can order and no salary can buy.

Inspiring Parents

Parents are inspired when the head treats them as honoured partners and shows them, with evidence, that the school is worthy of their trust and sacrifice. He inspires confidence by communicating honestly: displaying results and attendance on a notice board, explaining in

simple language what the school is trying to improve, and admitting problems before rumours exaggerate them. He inspires contribution by valuing every form of it; the labourer who gives a day's work on the boundary wall and the trader who donates a fan are thanked with the same warmth, preferably in public gatherings. Special effort goes to the parents who are hardest to inspire: the illiterate mother who fears the school office, the father discouraged by a child's repeated failure. A head who receives them patiently, speaks in their language and shows them one concrete way to help, a daily question about school, a fixed study corner at home, converts their hesitation into loyalty. Inspired parents become the school's ambassadors, defending it in the community and persuading neighbours to enrol their children.

Vision, Communication and Celebration

Across all three groups, certain instruments of inspiration recur. The head articulates a simple, shared vision, for example that every child of the village will complete middle school, or that the school will be the cleanest and fairest institution of the area, and repeats it until it becomes common property. He communicates constantly and in both directions: assemblies, staff meetings, parent days, notice boards and personal visits carry not only instructions but appreciation, stories and progress reports. He also creates occasions of collective pride, annual days, science exhibitions, sports, and farewells for retiring teachers, at which students, teachers and parents experience themselves as one community with a worthy purpose. Finally, he remains hopeful in difficulties: the head who, facing staff shortage or a damaged building, organises solutions cheerfully instead of complaining, teaches everyone around him that problems are tasks, not verdicts.

Conclusion

To conclude, a head teacher becomes a source of inspiration not by office or oratory but by character practised consistently and by deliberate acts of encouragement directed at each group. His punctuality, honesty and love of learning set the standard; students are inspired by his approachable presence, recognition of effort and protective concern; teachers by his professional example, fairness, appreciation and loyalty; and parents by his respect, honest communication and gratitude for every contribution. Around such a head, the whole school community begins to give more than is demanded of it, which is the true meaning of inspiration. The inspired school is therefore not built by resources alone; it is built by a head whose life makes others believe that their best effort is worthwhile.

USMAN NOTES www.usmannotes.com